



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_24314
Title of the Manuscript:	FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN PARTIALLY ORDERED RECTANGULAR METRIC SPACES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author(s) must support this paper by some examples.	The paper is not need examples. The paper is studied theoricly.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. The authors must rewrite Definition 1.2 as in References [7,15]. According to that, the authors must rewrite the proof of Theorem 2.1 in view of the new notations. 2. The authors must cite Definitions 1.2 and 1.3. 3. I suggest to authors to change "there subsists" to "there is" (for example see Page 3 Line 94)	1. I disagree the reviewer, because we use partial order relation 2. I have already cite definition 1.2 and 1.3 ref [12] and old version ref [21] 3. I have done it.