



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_23358
Title of the Manuscript:	Geometry of Projective Tensor of Viasman-Gray Manifold
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It is in the attached file.	1- We agree with the reviewer about abstract, so we rewrite it. 2- In the first paragraph of Introduction, we agree with the reviewer, so we gave a historical development of VG-manifold, in addition, the related studies of this manifold in the adjoined G-structure space have been mentioned . With respect to the second paragraph of introduction, we think it is necessary because there were some studies about the projective tensor of some kinds of almost Hermitian manifold, in addition, we agree with the reviewer that we did not use the Kirichenko's result, but we use the adjoined G-structure space method that explained in Kirichenko's paper. 3- We agree with the reviewer that should do right dot and comma usages in the text. 4- We agree with all notes in fourth point of the reviewer report's except the equation $B_c^{ab} = a^{[a} \delta_c^{b]}$, because this equation has been written in the correct form, that is $a^{[a} \delta_c^{b]}$ means that the Lie form itself multiplied by the Kronecker delta and the bracket $[a, b]$ refer to the alternative between a and b only. 5- We agree with the reviewer's note that VG-



SDI Review Form 1.6

		manifold with flat Ricci tensor and flat projective curvature tensor is also flat manifold. This is a trivial consequence result, because in this case, according to the definition of projective tensor we get VG-manifold of flat Riemannian curvature tensor which means flat manifold. We agree with reviewer about the K. Takamatsu and T. Sato paper about K space of constant holomorphic sectional curvature, but we did not study this concept. We would like to study this concept of VG-manifold in future work.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	It is in the attached file.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is in the attached file.	