



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_23247
Title of the Manuscript:	The Classification of Alternatives based a Multi-criteria Analysis Technique and the Combination of AHP and the Weighted Sum
Type of the Article	Method Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract should be rewritten. There should be added background, aims, methods and results. Introduction should be rewritten completely. There is no any background. It is too short. There is no literature review. Finally, there should be a part on the structure of the paper. Section Conclusions presents results. The author should interpret this results. I don't see any new method there. I propose something in this style: "The paper contains a framework in MCDA domain." The author has to make a literature review. I don't see any cites. In the paper, all formulas should have references.	We rewrite Abstract, introduction and conclusion. Thank you for your suggestions.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In section 2.1 What's mean i and k? There should be defined each mathematical symbol. Tables 2, 3, 10, and so on, should be replaced by matrix. The composition and structure of the paper should be improved too.	We rewrite section 2. Identification of the dimensions and the Criteria, for defined each mathematical symbol. We changed Tables 2, 3, 10 by matrix
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This paper should be published after improved.	