



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_23120
Title of the Manuscript:	Mathematical Study of In-host Dynamics of Hepatitis B Virus in absence of immunity system
Type of the Article	Original research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The following suggestions will improve the quality of this excellent work. 1. Write references in the prescribed format. The references should be cited in order with author (s) preceding to the reference number and consistently. 2. The Table 1 should be squeezed to appear in one page and the explanation be given of the references mentioned against the values in the table 1. 3. Line 358, write the reference appropriately. 4. The reference [12] is not cited in the text.	1. The references are now written in order. 2. Table 1 and Table 2 is considered as in one table with description. 3. In Line 358 , reference no 13 is included. 4. Reference no 12 is now cited in the text.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		