



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_19773
Title of the Manuscript:	Prediction of Productivity of A Yangtze River Port Based on Data Analysis Using Combination of Visualization Method and Numeric Method - A Case Study
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• The article has SERIOUS GRAMMATICAL FLAWS. • Paragraphs were disoriented on both page 1 & 2 at the end of the columns. • Basing on the above it is hard to read and follow the manuscript.	A few native English speaking American professionals have re-edited the whole paper's writing, spelling and grammar.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article was prepared with lack of interest/SERIOUSNESS and it shows that the submission is not match to BJMCS.	Please read it again to see how it changed.