



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_19773
Title of the Manuscript:	Prediction of Productivity of A Yangtze River Port Based on Data Analysis Using Combination of Visualization Method and Numeric Method - A Case Study
Type of the Article	It is an original article.

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Discussion section, is missing.	Already add a Summary and Discussion section.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Section 1, Paragraph 1: the phrase "Big Data" has different letter size, and it is necessary to add a space also. Section 2, Paragraph 1: or is part of the title, or that the paragraph is complete. "evidence. It makes complex data more accessible, understandable and usable". Section 2, last paragraph: 36 tools are mentioned but not named or quoted. Figures 1, 2, and 3, the axes are not titled. Table 4, the "Total" is missing.	Already changed it. Corrected it. A reference has been listed for the tools, so that reader can find them online. Titles of axes of these figures have been added. The Total has been added.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is an original idea and that seeks to resolve problems that come with "Big Data";I recommend its publication.	