



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_23380
Title of the Manuscript:	Weakly Berwald spaces with second approximate Matsumoto metric
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Literature review The authors implicitly present their solution as a very new solution that is a combination of Finsler space and Matsumoto metric. - However, it's recommendable to review the recent literature on the same subject in order to highlight the relevance and the contribution of the paper. Plagiarism issue: Yes. There is a paper which title is "Weakly Berwald Finsler Spaces with second approximate Matsumoto metric" and author is <u>Gauree Shanker</u> available through the follow website: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/266137742_Weakly_Berwald_Finsler_Spaces_with_second_approximate_Matsumoto_metric	we have gone through every suggestion made by reviewer and thus have worked upon it, wherever necessary changes are required, its have been ~~extended~~ highlighted. we earlier have communicated our paper entitled "weakly Berwald Finsler spaces with second approximate Matsumoto metric" in a journal but now we have withdrawn it as per the requirement.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		