

Using Multistage Laplace Adomian decomposition method to solve Chaotic Financial system

Bonyah Ebenezer^{1*}, O.T. Kolebaje² and Kwasi Awuah-Werekoh³

¹Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Kumasi Polytechnic, Kumasi, Ghana

²Department of Physics, Adeyemi College of Education, Ondo, Nigeria

³Business School, Ghana Institute of Management and Public Administration, Accra, Ghana

Original Research Article

Using Multistage Laplace Adomian decomposition method to solve Chaotic Financial system

Abstract

In this paper, a new reliable algorithm, multistage laplace Adomian decomposition method (MLADM) based on standard laplace – Adomian method, is presented to solve a time-fractional financial model for both chaotic and non- chaotic. The new algorithm is just a simple modification of laplace- Adomian method (LAM). This method is considered as an algorithm in a sequence of small intervals for obtaining accurate approximate solutions. The study depicts that the LADM provides reliable results for $t \ll 1$. Numerical comparisons between the MLADM and the classical Runge- Kutta fourth order method (RK4) in the case of integer-order derivatives solutions indicates that the MLADM gives better output with high accuracy and is a promising technique for nonlinear systems of integer and fractional order.

Keywords: Laplace adomian decomposition method; Runge- Kutta fourth order method; laplace- Adomian method; Riemann Liouville integral; Chaos theory.

1. Introduction

For the past four decades, a phenomenon called chaos has been investigated by many researchers including mathematicians, engineers and others [7, 8]. The first classical chaotic system was discovered in 1963 by Lorenz when he studied atmospheric convection [17]. In 1984, Chau introduced the first chaotic circuit which connects the chaos theory and the nonlinear circuit theory. In 1999, a dual system of Lorenz system was identified by Chen and Ueta through a technique called chaotification [5]. In 2002, a new chaotic system was identified and named as Lü system [18]. Lü *et al.* put together all the three systems

described above into one chaotic called unified chaotic system [18]. Chaos theory deals with behaviour of dynamical systems has insightful effects on the numerical solutions based on its initial conditions [2, 25]. This is sometimes sensitive to time step sizes with regard to its numerical solutions. It has been identified that the majority real life phenomena and some scientific problem could be modeled by chaotic systems of ordinary differential equations (ODEs). For instance, Chaos theory has been employed in many fields of endeavour such as population dynamics, mathematics, biology, computer science, economics engineering, finance, meteorology and economics [11, 12]. Interestingly, many chaotic systems cannot be solved analytically via their complexities. It is, therefore, prudent to explore the power of numerical methods to obtain approximation solutions of such problems. Consider a financial system [6, 26]. The system comprise three state variables as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= z + (y - d)x \\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= 1 - ey - x^2 \\ \frac{dz}{dt} &= -x - fz \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where x, y and z are the state variables d, e and f are positive real numbers. Here d denotes the amount of saving, e represents the cost per investment, and the commercial markets elasticity demand is denoted by f , $i = 1, 2, 3$, are parameters dealing with the order of the fractional time derivative in the Caputo perspective. Clearly, the classical integer-order financial can be seen as exceptional situation from fractional –order system by putting $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 1$ where the chaotic occurs when $d = 3, e = 0.1$ and $f = 1$. We obtain the following system of fractional time derivatives equation;

$$\begin{aligned} D_*^{\alpha_1} x(t) &= z + (y - d)x \\ D_*^{\alpha_2} y(t) &= 1 - ey - x^2 \\ D_*^{\alpha_3} z(t) &= -x - fz \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

Li and Chen, [19] examined the dynamics of Rössler equation in fractional-order generalizations. They observed in their studies that chaotic behaviour exists as low as 2.4 in Rössler equation. Moaddy et al., [20] studied fractional order dynamics behaviour Rössler

60 chaotic using the nonstandard finite difference scheme. The study shows that smallest value
61 that chaotic occurs is 2.1

62 In recent times, Roslan et al., [24] explored Euler's method to obtain solution to chaotic
63 system. Even though the results obtained led to butterfly- shape but cannot provide good
64 accuracy. One of the common and widely used numerical methods is RK4 for simulating the
65 solution of chaotic system [19, 23, 27] and has been mostly used as comparison method
66 [1,4,9,10]. There are other powerful methods of solving chaotic systems such as Laplace
67 Adomian decomposition method (LADM) [13, 14]. This method has been applied in solving
68 different kinds of differential equations. Unfortunately, it has some setbacks including most
69 semi- analytic scheme. By using the LADM, we obtain a series solution which is, essentially
70 a truncated series solution. This obtained solution does not reveal the real characteristics of
71 the given problem but provides a good approximation to the exact solution in a small area. By
72 the fact that the LADM leads to small convergence area, a multi-staging technique referred to
73 as the Multistage Laplace Adomian Decomposition Method (MLADM) is proposed.
74 In this paper we intend to apply the LADM and MLADM to solve financial system [6, 26],
75 using the concept of fractional calculus for both chaotic and non-chaotic scenarios and
76 compare to fourth order Runge-Kutta method.

77

78 2. Basic Definitions and Notations

79 This section deals with a number of basic definitions and notations of fractional calculus that
80 will help in the subsequent sections [12].

81 **Definition 1.** A function $f(x)$ having the positive values of x is recognized in the space
82 D_α ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$) if it is expressed in the form $f(x) = x^q f_1(x)$ and for some $q > \alpha$, where $f_1(x)$ is
83 continuous in $[0, \infty)$, and it is known to be in the space D_α^n if $f^{(n)} \in D_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

84 **Definition 2.** The Riemann Liouville integral operator of a given order $\alpha > 0$ with $e \geq 0$ is
 85 expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} 86 \quad (J_e^\alpha p)(x) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_e^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt, \quad x > \alpha, \\ (J_e^0 f)(x) &= f(x), \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

87 For the properties of the operator see [22], we need only the following. For
 88 $p \in B_n, \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, c \in R$ and $\gamma > -1$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} 89 \quad (J_e^\alpha J_e^\beta f)(x) &= (J_e^\beta J_e^\alpha f)(x) = (J_e^{\alpha+\beta} f)(x), \\ J_e^\alpha x^\gamma &= \frac{x^{\gamma+\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \beta_{(x-e)/x}(\alpha, \gamma+1), \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

90 where $\beta_\tau(\alpha, \gamma+1)$ characterizes the incomplete beta function stated as

$$91 \quad D_\tau(\alpha, \gamma+1) = \int_0^\tau t^{\alpha-1} (1-t)^\gamma dt,$$

$$92 \quad J_e^\alpha f^{cx} = f^{cx} (x-e)^\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{[c(c-e)]^k}{\Gamma(\alpha+k+1)}. \quad (2.3)$$

93 The Riemann Liouville derivative has some challenges when applied to real life situations
 94 with fractional differential equations. Thus, at this point we explore a modified version of
 95 fractional differential operator D_e^α which has been used in Caputo work on the theory of
 96 viscoelasticity.

97 **Definition 3.** The Caputo fractional derivative of $p(x)$ of order $\alpha > 0$ with $a \geq 0$ is
 98 expressed as

$$99 \quad (D_e^\alpha f)(x) = (J_e^{m-\alpha} f^{(m)})(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} \int_e^x \frac{f^{(m)}(t)}{(x-t)^{(\alpha+1-m)}} dt, \quad (2.4)$$

100 for $m-1 < \alpha \leq m, m \in N, x \geq e, f(x) \in D_{-1}^m$. Many researchers employed the Caputo's
 101 fractional order derivatives for $m-1 < \alpha \leq m, f(x) \in D_{-1}^m$, and $\alpha \geq -1$, one obtains

$$102 \quad (J_e^\alpha D_e^\alpha f)(x) = J^{(m)} D^{(m)} p(x) = f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f^{(k)}(e) \frac{(x-e)^k}{k!}, \quad (2.5)$$

103 3. Laplace Adomian Decomposition Method (LADM)

104 In this section, we present a Laplace Adomian decomposition method for obtaining a solution
 105 of differential equations expressed operator form:

$$L_t u + S(u) + M(u) = f \quad (3.1)$$

with initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = g(x) \quad (3.2)$$

where L_t denotes a first-order differential operator, P represents a linear operator, M denotes a non-linear operator and f provides the source term. We initially explore and apply Laplace transform to both faces of equation (3.1) and then substitute the initial condition (3.2).

$$\begin{aligned} L[L_t u] + L[P(u)] + L[M(u)] &= L[f] \\ sL[u] - g(x) &= L[f] - L[P(u)] - L[M(u)] \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

$$L[u] = \frac{g(x)}{s} + \frac{L[f]}{s} - \frac{L[P(u)]}{s} - \frac{L[M(u)]}{s} \quad (3.4)$$

The infinite series in terms of LADM solution $u(x, t)$ is defined as

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} u_m \quad (3.5)$$

The Adomian polynomials technique is used to write the non-linear term which is expressed as [3]:

$$M(u) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_m \quad (3.6)$$

$$A_m = \frac{1}{m!} \left[\frac{d^m}{d\lambda^m} [M \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^i u_i] \right] \quad (3.7)$$

By substituting (3.5) and (3.6) into (3.3) leads to:

$$L[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} u_m] = \frac{g(x)}{s} + \frac{L[f]}{s} - \frac{L[P(u)]}{s} - \frac{L[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_m]}{s} \quad (3.8)$$

Following, (3.8), the following recursive formula can be stated:

$$L[u_0] = \frac{g(x)}{s} + \frac{L[f]}{s} \quad (3.9)$$

$$L[u_{n+1}] = -\frac{L[P(u)]}{s} - \frac{L[A_n]}{s} \quad (3.10)$$

127 By carefully taking the inverse Laplace transform to both ends of (3.9) and (3.10) we get
 128 $u_m (m \geq 0)$ subsequently substituted into (3.5).

129 3.2 Multistage Laplace Adomian Decomposition Method (MLADM)

130 A robust and efficient method is required to provide approximate solutions to system of
 131 differential equations for large t ($t \gg 0$) both linear and non-linear, multi- staging the
 132 solution approach is one of the methods.

133 The interval with which the differential equation (1) solution is to be determined is made to
 134 be $[0, T]$. The solution $[0, T]$ is further divided in M subintervals ($m = 1, 2, \dots, M$) given
 135 equal step size $h = T / M$ having the interval at end points $t_m = mh$.

136 The LADM scheme is applied initially to determine the approximate solutions x, y and z of
 137 (1), over the interval $[0, t_1]$ by employing the initial condition $x(0), y(0)$ and $z(0)$ in that
 138 order. In order to determine the approximate solution of (1) with respect to the next interval
 139 $[t_1, t_2]$, the $x(t_1), y(t_1)$ and $z(t_1)$ are considered as the new initial condition. In general terms
 140 the iterative scheme is continued for any m with the right endpoints $x(t_{n-1}), y(t_{n-1})$ and $z(t_{n-1})$
 141 at the previous interval being considered as the new initial condition for the interval $[t_{n-1}, t_n]$.

142 4. Application

143 In this section, we explore and employ the Laplace Adomian decomposition method to
 144 financial system (1). The basic procedure of Laplace Adomian decomposition method is
 145 employed to the fractional financial model and is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}[D^{\alpha_1} x] &= \mathcal{L}[z] + \mathcal{L}[xy] - d\mathcal{L}[x] \\
 146 \quad \mathcal{L}[D^{\alpha_2} y] &= \mathcal{L}[1] - e\mathcal{L}[y] - \mathcal{L}[x^2] \\
 \mathcal{L}[D^{\alpha_3} z] &= -\mathcal{L}[x] - f\mathcal{L}[z] \\
 s^{\alpha_1} \mathcal{L}[x] - s^{\alpha_1-1} x(0) &= \mathcal{L}[z] + \mathcal{L}[xy] - d\mathcal{L}[x] \\
 s^{\alpha_2} \mathcal{L}[y] - s^{\alpha_2-1} y(0) &= \mathcal{L}[1] - e\mathcal{L}[y] - \mathcal{L}[x^2]
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

$$s^{\alpha_3} \mathcal{L}[z] - s^{\alpha_3-1} z(0) = -\mathcal{L}[x] - f\mathcal{L}[z]$$

$$\mathcal{L}[x] = \frac{x(0)}{s} + \frac{1}{s^{\alpha_1}} \mathcal{L}[z] + \frac{1}{s^{\alpha_1}} \mathcal{L}[xy] - \frac{d}{s^{\alpha_1}} \mathcal{L}[x]$$

$$147 \quad \mathcal{L}[y] = \frac{y(0)}{s} + \frac{1}{s^{\alpha_2}} \mathcal{L}[1] - \frac{e}{s^{\alpha_2}} \mathcal{L}[y] - \frac{1}{s^{\alpha_2}} \mathcal{L}[x^2] \quad (4.2)$$

$$\mathcal{L}[z] = \frac{z(0)}{s} - \frac{1}{s^{\alpha_3}} \mathcal{L}[x] - \frac{f}{s^{\alpha_3}} \mathcal{L}[z]$$

148 The solution of the financial system takes the form

$$149 \quad x(t) = \sum_n^\infty X_n \quad y(t) = \sum_n^\infty Y_n \quad z(t) = \sum_n^\infty Z_n$$

150 The non-linear Adomian polynomials are expressed as:

$$151 \quad xy = \sum_n^\infty A_n \quad x^2 = \sum_n^\infty B_n$$

152 Then (4.2) can be written as a recursive formula in parameterized form as:

$$\mathcal{L} \left[\sum \lambda^n X_n \right] = \frac{x(0)}{s} + \frac{\lambda}{s^{\alpha_1}} \mathcal{L} \left[\sum \lambda^n Z_n \right] + \frac{\lambda}{s^{\alpha_1}} \mathcal{L} \left[\sum \lambda^n A_n \right] - \frac{d\lambda}{s^{\alpha_1}} \mathcal{L} \left[\sum \lambda^n X_n \right]$$

$$\mathcal{L} \left[\sum \lambda^n Y_n \right] = \frac{y(0)}{s} + \frac{\lambda}{s^{\alpha_2}} \mathcal{L}[1] - \frac{e\lambda}{s^{\alpha_2}} \mathcal{L} \left[\sum \lambda^n Y_n \right] - \frac{\lambda}{s^{\alpha_2}} \mathcal{L} \left[\sum \lambda^n B_n \right]$$

$$153 \quad \mathcal{L} \left[\sum \lambda^n Z_n \right] = \frac{z(0)}{s} - \frac{\lambda}{s^{\alpha_3}} \mathcal{L} \left[\sum \lambda^n X_n \right] - \frac{f\lambda}{s^{\alpha_3}} \mathcal{L} \left[\sum \lambda^n Z_n \right] \quad (4.3)$$

154 Comparing equal powers of λ in equation (4.3), we have:

$$155 \quad \mathcal{L}[X_0] = \frac{x(0)}{s} \quad \mathcal{L}[Y_0] = \frac{y(0)}{s} + \frac{1}{s^{\alpha_2+1}} \quad \mathcal{L}[Z_0] = \frac{z(0)}{s}$$

$$156 \quad X_0 = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{x(0)}{s} \right] \quad Y_0 = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{y(0)}{s} + \frac{1}{s^{\alpha_2+1}} \right] \quad Z_0 = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{z(0)}{s} \right] \quad (4.4)$$

157

$$\mathcal{L}[X_{n+1}] = \frac{1}{s^{\alpha_1}} \mathcal{L}[Z_n] + \frac{1}{s^{\alpha_1}} \mathcal{L}[A_n] - \frac{d}{s^{\alpha_1}} \mathcal{L}[X_n]$$

$$\mathcal{L}[Y_{n+1}] = -\frac{e}{s^{\alpha_2}} \mathcal{L}[Y_n] - \frac{1}{s^{\alpha_2}} \mathcal{L}[B_n]$$

$$\mathcal{L}[Z_{n+1}] = -\frac{1}{s^{\alpha_3}} \mathcal{L}[X_n] - \frac{f}{s^{\alpha_3}} \mathcal{L}[Z_n]$$

158

$$X_{n+1} = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\alpha_1}} \mathcal{L}[Z_n] + \frac{1}{s^{\alpha_1}} \mathcal{L}[A_n] - \frac{d}{s^{\alpha_1}} \mathcal{L}[X_n] \right] \quad (4.5)$$

$$Y_{n+1} = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[-\frac{e}{s^{\alpha_2}} \mathcal{L}[Y_n] - \frac{1}{s^{\alpha_2}} \mathcal{L}[B_n] \right]$$

$$Z_{n+1} = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[-\frac{1}{s^{\alpha_3}} \mathcal{L}[X_n] - \frac{f}{s^{\alpha_3}} \mathcal{L}[Z_n] \right]$$

The system is solved with the initial condition $x(0) = 2$, $y(0) = 3$ and $z(0) = 2$. For $d = 3$, $e = 0.1$ and $f = 1$ we have a chaotic system and $d = 2$, $e = -0.1$ and $f = 1.6$ correspond to a non-chaotic system. The recursive relations (4.3) and (4.5) are evaluated with the aid of Mathematica 10.0 version to obtain the solution up to a 10 terms approximation for the time range $[0, 300]$ with a time step size 0.01. MLADM is implemented by dividing the solution interval $[0, 300]$

5. Results and discussions

The chaotic case of the classical finance system was obtained by applying the LADM and MLADM which is then implemented in Mathematica 10.0 version, the 21 terms approximate LADM solution was arrived at. The first few terms of the recursive relation (4.5) are obtained as

$$X_0 = 2$$

$$Y_0 = \frac{3 + t^{\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_2)}$$

$$Z_0 = 2$$

$$X_1 = 2t^{\alpha_1} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_1)} + \frac{t^{\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \right)$$

$$Y_1 = t^{\alpha_2} \left(-\frac{4.3}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_2)} - \frac{0.1 t^{\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(1 + 2\alpha_2)} \right)$$

$$Z_1 = \frac{-4t^{\alpha_3}}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_3)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
X_2 &= t^{\alpha_1} \left[\frac{2 t^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_1) \Gamma(1 + \alpha_2) \Gamma(1 + 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} - \frac{0.2 t^{2\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + t^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{-8.6 + \frac{2 t^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(1 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_2) \Gamma(1 + 2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)}}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \right) - \frac{4 t^{\alpha_3}}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_3)} \right] \\
Y_2 &= t^{\alpha_2} \left[-\frac{8 t^{\alpha_1}}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} + t^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{0.43}{\Gamma(1 + 2\alpha_2)} - \frac{8 t^{\alpha_1}}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)} + \frac{0.01 t^{\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(1 + 3\alpha_2)} \right) \right] \\
Y_2 &= t^{\alpha_2} \left[-\frac{8 t^{\alpha_1}}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} + t^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{0.43}{\Gamma(1 + 2\alpha_2)} - \frac{8 t^{\alpha_1}}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)} + \frac{0.01 t^{\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(1 + 3\alpha_2)} \right) \right] \\
Z_2 &= 2 t^{\alpha_3} \left[-\frac{t^{\alpha_1}}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_3)} - \frac{t^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)} + \frac{2 t^{\alpha_3}}{\Gamma(1 + 2\alpha_3)} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

172 into 3000 subintervals ($n = 1, 2, \dots, 3000$) of equal step size given by $h = 0.1$

173


174 For the chaotic case $d = 3$, $e = 0.1$ and $f = 1$, we have the series solution as:

$$\begin{aligned}
x &= 2 + 2t - 5.3t^2 - 4.42333t^3 + 5.74392t^4 + 13.3092t^5 - 4.82205t^6 - 28.4528t^7 \\
&\quad - 5.57176t^8 + 52.3603t^9 + 38.865t^{10} + 96.7929t^{11} + 4.95108t^{12} \\
&\quad - 11.4009t^{13} - 1.1977t^{14} + 0.175363t^{15} + 0.019144t^{16} \\
&\quad - 0.000347514t^{17} - 0.0000423286t^{18} - 1.74675e - 10t^{19} + 5.38229e \\
&\quad - 10t^{20}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
y &= 3 - 3.3t - 3.835t^2 + 5.86117t^3 + 9.5768t^4 - 6.866t^5 - 20.4022t^6 + 1.34447t^7 \\
&\quad + 40.6071t^8 + 18.4081t^9 - 68.6147t^{10} + 14.9803t^{11} + 44.9862t^{12} \\
&\quad + 4.17385t^{13} - 1.75135t^{14} - 0.188676t^{15} + 0.0104597t^{16} \\
&\quad + 0.00115873t^{17} - 3.16501e - 6t^{18} - 5.80154e - 7t^{19}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
z = & 2 - 4t + t^2 + 1.43333t^3 + 0.7475t^4 - 1.29828t^5 - 2.00182t^6 + 0.974838t^7 \\
& + 3.43474t^8 + 0.237447t^9 - 5.25977t^{10} - 0.483038t^{11} + 2.04308t^{12} \\
& + 0.258554t^{13} - 0.0481953t^{14} - 0.00576637t^{15} + 0.000138421t^{16} \\
& + 0.0000174021t^{17} + 2.7936e - 10t^{18} - 5.66557e - 10t^{19}
\end{aligned}$$

175 The effectiveness and accuracy of the LADM and MLADM is studied by comparing their
 176 solutions to the RK4 solution for the parameter where the system is chaotic with the initial
 177 conditions $x(0) = 2, y(0) = 3$ and $z(0) = 2$. The RK4 with time steps $\Delta t = 0.01$ with the
 178 number of significant digits set to 16 is used. Table 1 presents the absolute errors between the
 179 21-term LADM solutions and the 21-term MLADM solutions for $d = 3, e = 0.1, f = 1$ and the
 180 RK4 solutions.



181
 182 **Figure 1: X-Y-Z Phase portrait using 10-term MLADM on $\Delta t = 0.01$ for $d = 3,$**
 183 **$e = 0.1$ and $f = 1$.**

184




Figure 2: X-Y Phase portrait using 10-term MLADM on $\Delta t = 0.01$ for $d = 3, e = 0.1$ and $f = 1, \alpha = 1$.




Figure 3: Y-Z Phase portrait using 10-term MLADM on $\Delta t = 0.01$ for $d = 3, e = 0.1$ and $f = 1, \alpha = 1$.




Figure 4: X-Z Phase portrait using 10-term MLADM on $\Delta t = 0.01$ for $d = 3, e = 0.1$ and $f = 1, \alpha = 1$.



Figure 5: $X(t)$ time series using 10-term MLADM for $d = 3, e = 0.1$ and $f = 1$.




Figure 6: $Y(t)$ time series using 10-term MLADM for $d = 3, e = 0.1$ and $f = 1$.




Figure 7: $Z(t)$ time series using 10-term MLADM for $d = 3, e = 0.1$ and $f = 1$.

Table 1: Absolute differences between 10-term LADM and 10-term MLADM with RK4 solutions ($\Delta t = 0.01$) for $d = 3$, $e = 0.1$ and $f = 1$.

t	$ \text{LADM}_{0.01} - \text{RK4}_{0.01} $			$ \text{MLADM}_{0.01} - \text{RK4}_{0.01} $		
	Δx	Δy	Δz	Δx	Δy	Δz
0.15	4.623E-10	2.812E-11	3.594E-09	4.623E-10	2.812E-11	3.594E-09
4.43	8.647E-05	1.801E-05	6.452E-04	1.008E-09	1.297E-09	1.512E-09
22.04	1.066E+00	1.166E+00	4.594E+00	4.494E-09	8.654E-09	7.228E-10
46.71	5.340E+02	2.479E+03	5.249E+03	4.254E-10	7.486E-09	1.570E-09
71.13	1.972E+05	2.159E+06	3.763E+06	5.482E-09	2.697E-08	9.049E-09
95.52	3.741E+07	6.570E+08	1.016E+09	2.785E-10	2.058E-08	6.010E-11
120.04	3.169E+09	7.231E+10	1.046E+11	4.052E-10	1.922E-08	1.051E-10
156.33	9.462E+10	2.484E+12	3.468E+12	3.465E-09	1.601E-08	4.111E-09
185.51	2.147E+12	6.196E+13	8.447E+13	2.261E-09	1.567E-08	4.535E-09
212.12	9.249E+12	2.767E+14	3.738E+14	1.928E-09	1.130E-08	2.424E-09
278.23	4.314E+13	1.333E+15	1.787E+15	6.767E-10	1.211E-08	2.196E-10

In Table 1, we can see that the LADM only provides valid result for $t \ll 1$. The MLADM solutions on the time step $\Delta t = 0.01$ for the chaotic case matches with the RK4 solutions on the time step $\Delta t = 0.01$ to at least 4 decimal places. Therefore, for the classical finance chaotic system we notice that the MLADM solutions matches with the RK4 solutions to a significant degree. The $z + (y - d)x$, $1 - ey - x^2$ and $-x - fz$ phase portraits for the non-chaotic case is determined employing the 21-term MLADM solutions are depicted in Fig. 1 to Fig. 4. In figure 5, 6 and 7 we show the time series plots of the model equations respectively. These graphs depict oscillatory variations in the time interval of $0 \leq t \leq 30$ seconds using MLADM when $d = 3$, $e = 0.1$ and $f = 1$.


For the non-chaotic case $d = 2$, $e = -0.1$ and $f = 1.6$, we have the series solution as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x = & 2 + 4t - 3.3t^2 - 9.40333t^3 - 8.87358t^4 + 14.5722t^5 + 37.4136t^6 + 13.0765t^7 \\
 & - 70.9391t^8 - 119.882t^9 + 17.3112t^{10} - 158.999t^{11} - 89.8305t^{12} \\
 & - 4.81831t^{13} + 2.84324t^{14} + 0.383951t^{15} + 0.00429468t^{16} \\
 & - 0.0012362t^{17} - 0.0000420489t^{18} + 1.70486e - 8t^{19} + 5.38229e \\
 & - 10t^{20}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 y = & 3 - 2.7t - 8.135t^2 - 1.2045t^3 + 15.9732t^4 + 20.2857t^5 - 7.88893t^6 \\
 & - 59.1442t^7 - 53.5294t^8 + 68.4484t^9 + 210.243t^{10} + 154.278t^{11} \\
 & - 25.6593t^{12} - 22.3443t^{13} - 2.38427t^{14} + 0.145652t^{15} + 0.0302603t^{16} \\
 & + 0.00928876t^{17} - 0.0000171922t^{18} - 5.80154e - 7t^{19}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
z = & 2 - 5.2t + 2.16t^2 - 0.052t^3 + 2.37163t^4 + 1.01579t^5 - 2.69958t^6 - 4.72776t^7 \\
& - 0.689006t^8 + 8.00462t^9 + 10.7075t^{10} + 10.8071t^{11} + 0.721589t^{12} \\
& - 0.652907t^{13} - 0.0998574t^{14} - 0.000655983t^{15} + 0.00047164t^{16} \\
& + 0.0000171717t^{17} - 1.58464e - 8t^{18} - 5.66557e - 10t^{19}
\end{aligned}$$


223



224

225 **Figure 8: X-Y-Z Phase portrait using 10-term MLADM on $\Delta t = 0.01$ for $d = 2$, $e = -0.1$**
226 **and $f = 1.6$, $\alpha = 1$.**

227



228

229 **Figure 9: X-Y Phase portrait using 10-term MLADM on $\Delta t = 0.01$ for $d = 2$, $e = -0.1$**
230 **and $f = 1.6$, $\alpha = 1$.**




Figure 10: Y-Z Phase portrait using 10-term MLADM on $\Delta t = 0.01$ for $d = 2$, $e = -0.1$ and $f = 1.6$, $\alpha = 1$.




Figure 11: X-Z Phase portrait using 10-term MLADM on $\Delta t = 0.01$ for $d = 2$, $e = -0.1$ and $f = 1.6$, $\alpha = 1$.

Table 2: Absolute differences between 10-term LADM and 10-term MLADM with RK4 solutions ($\Delta t = 0.01$) for $d = 2$, $e = -0.1$ and $f = 1.6$

t	$ \text{LADM}_{0.01} - \text{RK4}_{0.01} $			$ \text{MLADM}_{0.01} - \text{RK4}_{0.01} $		
	Δx	Δy	Δz	Δx	Δy	Δz
0.15	2.407E-10	4.552E-09	6.828E-10	2.407E-10	4.552E-09	6.828E-10
4.43	4.003E-04	1.049E-03	8.516E-05	4.064E-10	3.177E-10	4.378E-10
22.04	1.067E+01	2.777E+00	5.612E-01	7.022E-11	5.363E-10	3.718E-10
46.71	5.788E+03	6.718E+03	4.383E+02	2.435E-10	4.909E-11	4.626E-10

71.13	2.885E+06	4.328E+06	1.786E+05	2.417E-11	1.541E-11	1.839E-11
95.52	6.804E+08	1.110E+09	2.574E+07	1.224E-09	1.553E-09	9.840E-10
120.04	6.613E+10	1.117E+11	1.381E+09	4.385E-10	1.947E-11	1.583E-10
156.33	2.136E+12	3.668E+12	2.377E+10	8.462E-10	7.770E-10	6.206E-10
185.51	5.118E+13	8.884E+13	2.212E+11	3.171E-11	7.643E-11	3.571E-11
212.12	2.252E+14	3.924E+14	3.903E+11	6.414E-12	9.170E-12	3.612E-11
278.23	1.071E+15	1.872E+15	6.907E+11	1.762E-06	8.250E-06	1.779E-08

243

244 In Table 2, we can also notice that the LADM only provides valid result for $t \ll 1$. The
245 MLADM solutions for the non-chaotic case is in agreement with the RK4 solutions to at least
246 4 decimal places. The $z + (y - d)x$, $1 - ey - x^2$ and $-x - fz$ depict the phase portraits for the
247 non-chaotic classical finance system obtained employing the 21-term MLADM solutions and
248 are shown in Fig. 8 to Fig. 11.

249 6. Conclusion

250 In this work, a new numerical technique to deal with time- fractional classical financial
251 model is proposed. The method is a only modification of the standard laplace-Adomian
252 method. Comparisons were made among the LADM, MLADM and the fourth-order Runge-
253 Kutta (RK4) method. Following the numerical results obtained in the case of chaotic and
254 non-chaotic the MLADM and RK4 were consistent. However, in the case of chaotic solution
255 the MLADM performed relatively better than that of RK4.

256

257 References

- 258 [1] Alomari, A.K., M.S.M. Noorani and R. Nazar, 2009. Adaptation of homotopy analysis
259 method for the numeric-analytic solution of Chen system, Communications in Nonlinear
260 Science and Numerical Simulation, 14: 2336-2346.
261
262 [2] Asad Freihat and Shaher Momani. 2012. Adaptation of differential transform method for
263 the numeric-analytic solution of fractional-order ro" ssler chaotic and hyperchaotic systems,
264 1934219
265
266 [3] Adomian G. Solving Frontier Problems in Physics.1994. The Decomposition Method.
267 Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers
268
269 [4] Al-Sawalha, M.M. and M.S.M. Noorani, 2009. A numeric-analytic method for
270 approximating the chaotic Chen system, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 42: 1784-1791.
271
272 [5] Chen, G.R., and T. Ueta, 1999. Yet another chaotic attractor, *Int. J. Bifur. Chaos*, vol.9,
273 pp.1465-66
274
275 [6] Chen Wei-Chin. 2008. Nonlinear dynamics and chaos in a fractional-order financial
276 system, Chaos, Solutions & Fractals, 36, 1305-1314.

- [7] Elwakil A. S. and Kennedy M. P. 2001. Construction of classes of circuit independent chaotic oscillators using passive-only nonlinear devices, *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 289-307.
- [8] Elwakil A. S., Ozoguz S., and Kennedy M. P. 2002. Creation of a complex butterfly attractor using a novel Lorenz-type system," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 527-530.
- [9] Goh, S.M., M.S.M. Noorani and I. Hashim. 2009. A new application of variational iteration method for the chaotic Rössler system, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 42: 1604-1610
- [10] Hashim, I. and M.S.H. Chowdhury. 2009. Application of multistage homotopy-perturbation method for the solutions of the Chen system, *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications* 10: 381-391.
- [11] Kyrtsov, C. and Vorlow, C. 2005. Complex dynamics in macroeconomics: A novel approach. *New Trends in Macroeconomics*; 223-238.
- [12] Kyrtsov C. and Labys W. 2006. Evidence for chaotic dependence between US inflation and commodity prices. *Journal of Macroeconomics* 28: 256-266.
- [13] S.A. Khuri. 2001. A Laplace decomposition algorithm applied to class of nonlinear differential equations. *J Math. Appl*; 4: 141 - 155.
- [14] S.A. Khuri. 2004. A new approach to Bratu's problem. *Appl. Math. Comput*; 147: 131 - 136.
- [15] Lu, J., T. Zhou, G. Chen and S. Zhang, 2002. Local bifurcations of the Chen system, *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, 12(10): 2257-2270.
- [16] Lü, J.H., and G.R. Chen. 2002. A new chaotic attractor coined, *Int. J. Bifur. Chaos*, vol.12, pp.659-661.
- [17] Lorenz, E.N. 1963. Deterministic nonperiodic flow, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, vol.20, pp.130-141.
- [18] Lü, J.H., G.R. Chen. 2002. Bridge the gap between the Lorenz system and the Chen system, *Int. J. Bifur. Chaos*, vol.12, pp.2917-2926.
- [19] C. P. Li and G. Chen. 2004. Chaos and hyperchaos in the fractional-order Rössler equations," *Physica A*, vol. 341, no. 1-4, pp. 55-61.
- [20] K. Moaddy, S. Momani, and I. Hashim, 2001. Non-standard finite difference schemes for solving fractional-order Rössler chaotic and hyperchaotic system, " *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 1209-1216.
- [21] R. L. Magin. 2006. *Fractional Calculus in Bioengineering*, Begell House, Redding, Mass, USA.
- [22] Podlubny. 1999. *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA.

328
329 [23] Park, J.H. 2006. Chaos synchronization of nonlinear. Bloch equations, Chaos, Solitons
330 and Fractals, 27: 357-361.
331
332 [24] Roslan, U.A.M., Z. Salleh and A. K l çman. 2010. Solving Zhou's chaotic system using
333 Euler's method, Thai Journal of Mathematics, 8(2): 299-309
334
335 [25] Saheed O. Ajibola, Olusola.T Kolebaje, Samuel O. Sedara .2013. On the application of
336 the multistage laplace Adomian decomposition method to the chaotic Chen system.
337 International Journal of Applied Mathematical Research, 2(1) 116-124
338 [26] Zhen Wang, Xia Huang & Guodong Shi. 2011. Analysis of nonlinear dynamics and
339 chaos in a fractional order financial system with time delay, Computers & Mathematics with
340 Applications, 62, 1531-1539.
341 [27] Yassen, M.T. 2003. Chaos control of Chen chaotic dynamical system, Chaos, Solitons
342 and Fractals, 15: 271-283
343