SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJMCS_21889**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Cryptanalysis and Improvement on an E-mail Protocol**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	• All references mentioned in the paper are not the latest ones. There could be more work carried out in the related area from 2010 to 2015 which needs further investigation. • Usage of standard format for listing references is advisable. • Careful reading of the script before final submission is advised (man-the-middle attack used instead of man-in-the-middle attack)	
Optional/General comments	• The literature survey is well documented. • The proposed method provides forward secrecy, overcomes replay attack, sender impersonation attack and confidentiality in the mail content is achieved.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	*S. Akhila*
Department, University & Country	*Dept. of Electronics and Communication, BMS College of Engineering, Bangalore, India*