



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_21889
Title of the Manuscript:	Cryptanalysis and Improvement on an E-mail Protocol
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The Research Paper requires the following major revisions and is also recommended for Re-Review before any decision can be made regarding Acceptance:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The author must specify the name of the protocol which has been developed and proposed with regard to improvisation over Chen's et al protocol. So, that new protocol can be used as base for further research and can lay a strong foundation for its real world implementation. 2. The Title also requires to be modified and new name of the protocol has to be included in the title. 3. Abstract: In the last lines, also add to how much percent or in numbers this protocol is improved as compared to existing one. 4. Introduction : It has to be revised and should only focus on research work and problem which is being surrounded out. The Literature review which is being added in introduction has to be removed and should be included in separate title. 5. Add the name of the Improved Protocol. 6. Add something practical and live demonstration of the working of the protocol via some network scenario or some simulation so that it can lay the foundation 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	that really the protocol which is being developed is improved one as compared to existing one. 7. Add future scope to this paper.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Anand Nayyar</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Dept. of Computer Applications & IT, KCL Institute of Management and Technology, Jalandhar, Punjab, India</i>