



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMCS_18354
Title of the Manuscript:	Finding Remainder on Dividing Numbers
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript deals with some elementary facts, some of which were already introduced by our teachers in the elementary school. The author claims to prove the main results in section 3, but essentially these are based on Theorem 2.6, which in turn is based on Theorem 2.2. These are elementary facts involving congruence. The results in section 3 basically are direct consequence by taking congruence class mod p for a prime number p : for example, 10 is congruent to $-4 \pmod{7}$, etc. If the reviewer needs to criticize, probably the only ambiguity lies in the abstract, where the notation $N = a_{m-1} \dots a_0$ might be interpreted in a different way (such as the product of those numbers) when the conclusion becomes false. The reviewer suggests that the author submit this manuscript to Journals for high school teachers, who might benefit the students by sharing these ideas.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Norfolk State University, USA