



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_22752
Title of the Manuscript:	On Multiplicative Version of Zagreb indices of V-Phenylenic Nanotubes and Nanotorus
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The language used in the paper is horrible. It must be rewritten certainly. Very basic rules of English are not obeyed. Some sentences are not completed. Some means something completely false. It is impossible to understand the manuscript in this form. Generally speaking, it seems that it is a computational paper calculating some versions of the Zagreb indices for some molecular graphs and looks very basic.	Ok, All reviewer's comment are revised. All mistakes are modified. We add more results about redefined Zagreb indices of this family of nano-structure "V-Phenylenic Nanotubes, and V-Phenylenic Nanotorus". Also, we have an addition section "3.ADDITIONAL FINDINGS".
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		