



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_22192
Title of the Manuscript:	BAYESIAN APPROACH TO PREDICT OFFENDER'S PROBABLE ANCHOR POINT USING GEOGRAPHIC PROFILING SYSTEM IN AKURE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1- The equations are missing. 2 -Many works taken from" A New Mathematical Approach to Geographic Profiling" , especially in section 2 without any referencing to it. 3- In line 275, the author mentioned "appendix" , but no one in this paper. ETHICAL ISSUE- Many works taken from" A New Mathematical Approach to Geographic Profiling" , especially in section 2 without any referencing to it.	1. I don't know why all the equations got missing. I have reinserted them again. 2. Yes, the works are also in O'Leary, M. (2009), The mathematics of geographic profiling, Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling, Vol. (6), which I reference. I have corrected that too. 3. I have removed the statement since I did not include it in the paper. ETHICAL ISSUE: The works taken from " A New Mathematical Approach to Geographic Profiling" have now been properly referenced.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1- Evaluation criteria is not clear. 2- No real evaluation for the system	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		