



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_22190
Title of the Manuscript:	A MODEL OF A PRAGMATIC SECURE INTRUSION DETECTION SYSTEM FOR LOCAL AREA NETWORKS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper is based on a remarkable research work, which introduces a model of a pragmatic secure intrusion detection system for local area networks. At this point, technical infrastructure of the paper seems OK; but it should be revised before it can be published in BJMCS. At this point some major revisions are expressed as follows: 1- Abstract should be rewritten. It is currently too long. 2- The paper has too many sections and there are errors on numbering the related titles. I suggest the author(s) to revise organization of the content and try to include some sections as sub-sections rather than providing them in first degree titles. Currently, it is a little difficult to follow the sections. 3- In the content, some words are repeated too much. So, the author(s) should do a rapid check for this issue and revise the content.	1. The abstract has been restructured and shortened as required. 2. The numbering has been corrected and contents organized accordingly. 3. Contents corrected as noted.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	It is also possible to indicate the related minor revisions as listed as follows: 1- Is there any future work regarding to the system? It should be indicated under the last section. 2- There is not any recent reference in the current content. I suggest adding some more references from 2013, 2014, and 2015 in order to improve general quality and keep the paper up-to-date. Thanks the author(s) for their valuable efforts to form this paper.	Corrections effected accordingly.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It will be great if the figures can be provided in colourful forms. In this way, attractiveness of the paper will be improved.	Corrections effected accordingly.