



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_22007
Title of the Manuscript:	Survey on Unicast, Multicast and Broadcast Routing Techniques in Vehicular Ad-hoc Networks – Present and Future
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- Introduction: Line 35: grammar revision is required. Remove "are" in "Both routing methods". - section 4.1: re-phrasing is needed to clarify the different categories and techniques of unicast routing. - Line 206: "increased" instead of "increases". - Line 215: "consists of" instead of "contains of". - Section 4.1.2: delay bound protocols are not clearly classified and explained. Additionally, some major delay bounded protocols are missing. - Table 1: some important comparison parameters are missing from the table such as delay, scalability, packet loss ratio, ...etc. - Section 4.3: The difference between the 2 major categories (simple multicast and spatio-temporary) needs to be explained. In addition, you need to state which protocols (IVG, DRG,...etc) fall under each category. - Section 4.5.1: incorrect references: Tonguz et al. do not correspond to [16] and [22].	- Grammar mistakes are corrected. - VANET based delay bounded protocols (D-Greedy and D-MinCost) are considered in this review. Section 4.1.2. is revised. - Analysis such as packet delivery ratio, packet drop ratio...etc. are not considered in this review article. - The difference between simple multicast and spatio-temporary multicast is explained in section 4.3. - Reference problem correspond to [16] and [22] is corrected.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- Section 2.1: Line 64: acronym of RSU (Road Side Unit) is missing. - Figures have low resolution. - Language/ grammar revision is needed. - Conclusion needs to be re-written to cover more than just the definition of unicast, multicast and broadcast protocols.	- Acronym of RSU is provided. - High resolution images are added. - Grammar mistakes are corrected completely.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	- Section 3: the context of the model and probability is not clear. Is it used somewhere else in the paper? Does it lay the foundation for any other section in the paper? It needs to be linked to other sections or context needs to be explained.	- Section 3 is a review about road model and properties of radio wave communication. This is useful to the researchers who are concentrating on protocol implementation. It is just a base or platform for a protocol implementation.
---	--	---