



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_21945
Title of the Manuscript:	NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS INVOLVING THE $(p(x); q(x))$-LAPLACIAN SYSTEM IN R_n
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author presents new existence results for two nonlinear problems. The theorems don't provide great new features, but they are correct and new. Unfortunately, the paper has so many typing errors which make it difficult to read. I report here the most important, but I suggest to read carefully the entire paper. 1. Many references are missing (in fact in the paper there are many [?]), so it is impossible to understand which articles the authors refer. 2. Use capital letters at the beginning of the phrases and where it is needed. 3. Sometimes the dimension of the space is denoted by 'n', some others by 'N'. Please use the same notation. 4. Insert some reference for the critical Sobolev exponent. 5. Give the right size to parentheses and check commas and spaces. 6. p.3, line 4⁻: correct the typos. 7. p.5 line 7⁺: correct the reference 1.2 (maybe it is 1.3). 8. p.6 line 9⁻: correct the reference (4.3) (maybe it is 3.1). 9. p.6 line 7⁻: delete one of the two <. 10. p.7: correct all the inaccuracies in the example and clarify that if m belongs to the space at line 6⁻ then it belongs also to the space of Theorem 1.2.	Thank you dear all editor for your valuable remarks, I did consider all your remarks in my revised version of the manuscript. I did add more references about $p(x)$-laplacian, and I fixed the example and all the parentheses sizing. I did double check the references, Again thanks for all.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	11. p.8 line 5⁻: correct f_u and f_v (maybe you mean F_u and F_v). 12. p.9 line 7⁺: replace tow with two. 13. p.9 line 9⁺: insert dx in the integrals.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		