SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJMCS_21768**
Title of the Manuscript:	**On k-cordial Labeling of Some Graphs**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	In this paper, the the existence of k-cordial labeling for certain graph classes are discussed. The paper contains only three notable results. I suggest the following suggestions to revise the paper. 1. Proper citations to be given for all terms and definitions which are not introduced in this paper. The reference list may be extended for this purpose. 2. The motivation for this study is not clear. A strong motivation for this study must be included in the introduction part. **3.** It will be better if the author include some more relevant results in this paper. It may elevate the significance and quality of the work.	1. Definition of corona product is added. 2. The mitivation is added. 3. Theorem 2 is modified.
Minor REVISION comments	1. The notion of the corona product of two graphs may be explained while defining the comb graphs and C_n \odot K{1,n} etc.	1. The definition of corona product is added.
Optional/General comments	The results discussed in this paper are correct even though the paper contributes less to the literature. The paper may be considered for publication if the author(s) modify the paper as per the suggestions and include some more good results.	