



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_21641
Title of the Manuscript:	Convergence of Cosine Trigonometric Series
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

I believe that the article may be published if its supplemented of more detailed conclusions.

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. In the introduction it would be very good to point (along with an overview of known results) on the feasibility investigate of trigonometric series of such type. 2. Conclusions in the article are absent, in which required more detail formulate the main result and its relationship (benefits) with known.	1. Overview of known results is given in introduction. 2. The conclusion in itself is contained in lines 83-86.