



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_19682
Title of the Manuscript:	A BLOCK HYBRID IMPLICIT ALGORITHMS FOR SOLUTION OF FIRST ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The manuscript lacks theoretical basis for the work that justifies the title For instance, the authors show how came by the block method at value $k=4$ and of order 8 2. Different authors in the literature have use used similar arguments as the authors and have chosen different values for x and i to get different orders for their block method so I expect the authors to start from a generalization to their particular order 3. In the conclusion the authors compare their work to those of [3], [4] and [9] and conclude that theirs is better. Even though I could not access [3] and [4], a look at [9] shows that the authors of [9] used 10 decimal places for their work while the current Authors use 15 and sometimes 14 decimal places. The decimal places should be uniform for meaningful comparison Plagiarism issue; Authors should check Badamus et al. (2014) for similarity in some of the paragraphs	1. It has been taken care of 2. The degree of the polynomial used is $(m+t-1)$ where t is the point of interpolation and m is the collocation points used. Specifically $t=2$, $m=6$ and the degree of polynomial =7 3.If our method is used for 10 decimal places as suggested by the assessor the method will converge exactly to the theoretical solution. It can be glanced from tables of results. All the references used are duly acknowledged Badmus et al made reference to by the assessor was no 1 in my reference list.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	International Journal of Applied Mathematical Research , 3 (4) (2014) 464-472 ©Science Publishing Corporation www.sciencepubco.com/index.php/IJAMR doi: 10.14419/ijamr.v3i4.3403 Research Paper	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		