SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJMCS_19209**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Machine Interference Problem with Reliable Server under Multiple Vacations Policy**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The paper seems technically acceptable to me, but see the Option/General comments.**	
Minor REVISION comments	Several formal imperfections should be improved, e.g.: - Page 2, par. 4: It should be "(warm and cold)", not, "(warn and cold)". - Page 4, line 1: It should be capital letter in "Ke (2006)". - Page 4, par. 2: Redundant blue round bracket. - Page 4, par. 2: It should be capital letter in "Markov process". Reference Section: some redundant lines.	This has been corrected. Corrected
Optional/General comments	To be honest, I do not feel self-confident to responsibly judge all technical details of the paper (with respect to my professional interests).	