



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_19209
Title of the Manuscript:	Machine Interference Problem with Reliable Server under Multiple Vacations Policy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The definition of θ is not clear 2. Right hand side of equation (2) is incorrect 3. why not $P_{1,2}(t)\mu_2h(1-(M-2)\lambda h)$?	The definition of θ is explicit enough. It is the period the server stay on vacation. Equation (2) show the probability that there is n failed machine when the server is on multiple vacations. The equation is correct.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		