



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMCS_17279
Title of the Manuscript:	OPTIMIZATION OF TRANSPORTATION PROBLEM: A CASE STUDY OF NIGERIAN BOTTLING COMPANY (EASTERN REGION)
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article studies a generic transportation problem, given a series of sources and a series of destinations, resorting to linear programming methods. The optimization through linear programming allows to reduce transportation costs, as an example applied to the Nigerian Bottling Company illustrates. Although resorting to trivial and non-innovative optimization techniques, the paper can be considered a relevant contribution since it applies the minimization transport cost problem to a concrete practical case where transport optimization was missing. Thus, the author(s) is(are) able to provide important indications to the firm in question on how it can improve efficiency.	The author appreciates the reviewer for reading through this work. The paper adds value and contributes to the goal of a firm, even though the methods utilized may be non-innovative. The author intends to do better in subsequent research works.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	---	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	---	