



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_10620
Title of the Manuscript:	Combined Solitary Wave Solutions in Higher-order Effects Optical Fibers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. grammar 2. symbol used in this manuscript ,for example,"i" used by expression (2), what meaning? Is it imaginary unit or index number? 3. The author should give a conclusion and make a comparison with the results by another author. 4. expression must be clear ? for example ,in (42), what is the meanings of "k, α, ω" ?....	Thank you for the observations of this Reviewer, I have considered it in the manuscript. Effectively there was some small confuse mathematical notations.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Review after revising .	