



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_10620
Title of the Manuscript:	Combined Solitary Wave Solutions in Higher-order Effects Optical Fibers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The referee beleives that the introduced paper can be significantly improved because all related formulae containing $\exp()$, $\sinh()$, and $\cosh()$ should have the square brackets or the paranthesises for the arguments and expressions inside. It is also noted that new quasi-particles (it is even possible to say solitons) with $\sinh()$ and $\cosh()$ are shown as the new surface acoustic waves propagating in the layred system, see the following work: A.A. Zakharenko. <i>Slow acoustic waves with the anti-plane polarization in layered systems</i>. <i>International Journal of Modern Physics B (World Scientific, Singapore)</i> 24 (4) 515 – 536 (2010); DOI: 10.1142/S0217979210054774. Also, the page numbers of ref. [11] are incorrect. The correct numbers are "148-153".	Thanks for your remarks. I have taken into account in the manuscript
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		