



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_15454
Title of the Manuscript:	A Dynamic Programming Approach to Replacement of Transport Vehicles in Benin City, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The literature is to be extended and some strategies seem on logical. Changing a car after a year may not be seen logical. The time span can be extended to 6-8 years. vi. The history of the system must have no influence on its future behaviour, what do you mean there? K-R-R-R: this strategy is to be explained in more details. There are to be more reasons besides cost. It seems unlogical strategy. There can be some lacknesses. You can add some new references from 2013 and 2014 years.	6-8 years is the not even the practice in place. The result given our assumptions gave us 4 years for High Roof bus and 1 year for the medium and standard roof bus. This is the principle of optimality. What it means is that given the current state, an optimal policy for the remaining stages is independent of the policy decisions adopted in previous stages. K-R-R-R is the optimal decision of the derived from the decision made at the various stages (operational year)
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	"The concept of replacement is as old as man" not necessary sentence. Too much space between sentences. 1 or 1.5 space is ok. "3. Depreciation is charged at 25% in line with Statement of Accounting Standard (SAS) 9 and it is computed by straight line method." What is 9?	Noted. We have delete the sentence. We have brought it to 1 9 means the number of the Statement of the Accounting Standard (SAS).



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Check these articles and try to add your literature: http://www.ipedr.com/vol14/1-ICIMS2011S00005.pdf http://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=Gwu_jNsAAAAJ&citation_for_view=Gwu_jNsAAAAJ:Tyk-4Ss8FVUC	