



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_15228
Title of the Manuscript:	Secured and Robust Information Hiding Steganographic Scheme
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science. (i) The authors did not made proper survey of recent works done in Steganography. (ii) The English is very poor. There are many grammatical mistakes in the entire paper. (iii) The algorithm is not described in proper way. (iv) Most of the references are too old.	I. Corrected II. Proof reading performed and corrected. III. Corrected. IV. New references have been added.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		