



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_15228
Title of the Manuscript:	Secured and Robust Information Hiding Steganographic Scheme
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The method described in the paper is effective and simple. And the method has all the merits declared by the authors. The embedding is successful, but the security is questioned. From the program in Page 4, we can see that an attacker can obtain the stream 'BS' easily if he knows the rules.	The projected technique is strong in terms that if the attacker applies the Visual Steganalysis, then the results will show the inconsistency in the least significant bit (LSB), not in three bits that are selected for hiding the message. Similarly if the attacker applies the Histogram analysis for steganalysis, still the results seemed that something has been done with the Least significant bit. So in all the steganalysis, applied by attacker on the projected technique, will pretend that message is in Least Significant Bit and attacker will try to destroy the message or uncover the message while the message is not in the Least Significant Bit. Another advantage of the projected method is that as this method does not uses LSB for hiding the message, so effect of hardware imperfection on LSB will not destroy the hidden message.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		