



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_14872
Title of the Manuscript:	Feedback controls for finite time or asymptotic compensation in lumped disturbed systems
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In introduction and problem statement avoid "we", Ex: we define and we char..... After the introduction, you will start the problem statement. Because we knew clearly to get the idea of your problem. In conclusion part , 2 nd paragraph should be added in introduction. Because the ref is not required in conclusion.	The remarks are globally taken in account: - "we" is not used in the introduction (section 1.1). - The 2nd paragraph in conclusion part, is added in introduction.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Proposition 2.1:3 the converse is not true, why? & remediability of the asymptotic case and converse is not clear. Please improve yourself and added more results in your paper.	- An illustrative example (example 3.1) is given in page 7. - Concerning the asymptotic remediability, more explications are given in pages 8 and 9 (a remark, references and comments are added, ...).