SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJMCS_14871**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Diabetes Diagnosis with Maximum Covariance Weighted Resilience Back Propagation Procedure**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	.	
Minor REVISION comments	The abstract needs to be reformulated. It doesn´t need to include the methodology or the volume of the sample. The novelty reported in the text must be included in the abstract. An introduction to the followed methodology must be include before item "2.0 Diabetes Mellitus and Diagnosis" The objectives and strategy of the work is not clearly exposed.	Done The emphasis is on classification and not diabetes mellitus Objectives clearly stated
Optional/General comments	The historical research is resumed and adequate. The mathematic formulating is well sequenced and the text is well supported in graphics and tables of values. The discussion and conclusion are correct and useful to the field.	Nice