



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_14871
Title of the Manuscript:	Diabetes Diagnosis with Maximum Covariance Weighted Resilience Back Propagation Procedure
Type of the Article	Full paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript describes some very interesting to examine the relationship of maximum covariance method. The results are quite novel since they show significant disinfection. However, the manuscript is not publishable in its present state. Some of the concerns are listed below. If the authors correct the manuscripts, it can be accepted. 1. I suggest the contents of 1.0 Introduction can be increased. 2. You should check the references format carefully. There are many errors in references chapter. 3. In 2.0 Diabetes..., type 11 is wrong and it should be type II. 4. In 4.0, the sentence "it is assume...." is wrong, and it should be "it is assumed...". 5. Check the grammar carefully.	Introduction increased Reference format checked and corrected Type II corrected Effected checked
Optional/General comments		