



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_14563
Title of the Manuscript:	Forecasting the Number of Muslim Pilgrims Using NARX Neural Networks with a Comparison Study with other Modern Methods
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The work presented in the manuscript is interesting. It is well written and organized. The results are promising. But, there are some sections in the introduction taken as "copy & paste" from other papers; e.g. the paragraph begun with "Artificial neural networks are algorithms and techniques that can be used for"	I corrected the sentence.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The quality of fig 2.1 and 2.2 needed to be enhanced. The author doesn't refer to the following references (3,4,15,23,26 and 27)	I made new figure; figure (2.1) with good quality. Also I corrected the references.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		