



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_14188
Title of the Manuscript:	Computer Aided System for Database Chain Drive Design and Drafting
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	NIL	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- Choice & justification of programming language platform - I/O forms - Weakness of the present application (if there is an already existing application)	The input and output interface are already available in the manuscript of figures 2 and 3
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	- Presentation of sample algorithms/pseudo code besides mathematical specification would enhance the technicality of the work	The sample algorithms/pseudo code has been added to the reviewed manuscript