



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_14139
Title of the Manuscript:	Quarter-Step Continuous Hybrid Block Method for First-Order Ordinary Differential Equations
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 29: Insert the appropriate reference for the claim that "hybrid method has been reported to have circumvented Dahlquist barrier condition" Line 30-31: Insert reference for the claim that hybrid methods are difficult to develop.." Line 34: Insert the discussion in section one as introduction to give context to the paragraph Line 124: State the interpretation of the RAS as shown in the figure	Reference inserted Reference inserted It has been inserted It has been interpreted just immediately below Figure 1.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Equations should be centralised where possible	Noted