



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_13973
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF SOME CONVOLUTION SUMS AND REPRESENTATION NUMBERS OF QUADRATIC FORMS OF DISCRIMINANT -135.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	From the page no 7 to the end of the paper the results are the same as in http://www.fatih.edu.tr/~bkendirli/table135.pdf. I attach it to the mail with the review. The authors should put in the text an appropriate comment whether the results are obtained by them or not. If they have just copied it then the manuscript is a plagiarism. The paper should consist the summary section.	I have deleted it. I have put it before I send the paper to you and forgot to delete it. I have given the summary in abstract.
Minor REVISION comments	The references are often marked as [?]. P. 3: newforms => new forms The formulae should be edited in a better way. Now they often are: A= B+C+D... They should be: A=B+C +D+E... Moreover, there is a lot of vertical blank space between formulae and inside (e.g. p. 10). The space should be removed from the whole paper. Similarly, each formula should be placed at one page, and, continued at the next page only if it is longer than one page. P. 7: Lambda_2, Phi_2, Psi_2 are not defined. Reference [13]: the first name of the second author should be abbreviated.	I think it has been corrected. Newform is a new word and defined in Diamond in Definition 5.8.1. at page 195 I have used the format A=B+C, but in some places Scientific Work Place automatically changes the format. Also vertical blank spaces are done by Scientific Work Place. Lambda_2, Phi_2, Psi_2 are also defined. Reference[13] has been corrected.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	(see the above comments). Please clarify the ethical issues if any	There is not any ethical issue.
---	---	---------------------------------