1

2

Phytochemical components and antibacterial activity of *Tamarindus indica* Linn. extracts against some Pathogens

4

3

5 ABSTRACT

Aim: to determine the phytochemical composition and antimicrobial properties of tamarind extracts on
 some aquatic pathogenic bacteria.

8 Study design: Completely Randomized Design (CRD)

9 Place and duration of the study: Department of Animal Production, Fisheries and Aquaculture,
 10 Kwara State University, Malete, Nigeria, between August 2014 and April, 2015.

Methodology: The phytochemical constituents in ordinary, warm and hot water as well as ethanol extracts of tamarind seed coat, pulp and leaves were screened. The Zone of Inhibition (ZOI) diameter (mm), Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) against some aquatic pathogenic bacteria were determined. Data were analyzed using ANOVA at P =.05.

16 Results: The result revealed presence of reducing sugar, flavonoid, saponin and terpenoids in all 17 tamarind extracts. The synthetic antibiotics used had significantly higher ZOI than the tamarind 18 extracts for all the test organisms. Tamarind pulp hot water extract significantly inhibited Aeromonas hydrophila and Hafnia alvei than other extracts while the leaf warm water extracts had significantly 19 20 higher zone of inhibition against Pseudomonas putida. The best MIC was obtained for oxytetracycline and erythromycin against Enterobacter gergovia and Escherichia coli respectively. Pulp extracts and 21 erythromycin exhibited the same MIC, 2.56mg/ml, for Bacillus subtilis and H. alvei while the former 22 23 had lower MIC (2.56mg/ml) against Salmonella typhi than the MIC (5.12mg/ml) of the later. Oxytetracycline and tamarind extracts also demonstrated the same MIC (2.56mg/ml) against S. typhi. 24 Pulp extracts exhibited MBC for most of the test organisms. 25

26 Conclusion: Warm tamarind leaf and hot tamarind pulp aqueous extracts demonstrated better 27 antimicrobial activities against some bacteria used in this study and hence the extracts could be used 28 to control such microbes associated with the aquatic environment and fish products.

29 Key words: Tamarind, antibacterial activity, phytochemical, minimum inhibitory

30 concentration, synthetic antibiotic

31 1. INTRODUCTION

32 Some of the major challenges facing fish culturists are adequate sources of low cost quality feed,

33 availability of guality fish feed and promotion of fish health. As aguaculture becomes more and more

34 intensive, feeds and disease prevention are significant factors in increasing the productivity and

35 profitability of aquaculture. Hence, investing in disease prevention and treatment is crucial in aqua

36 ventures to stay profitable (1). Intensive aquaculture has led to growing problems with bacterial

37 diseases and so intensive treatment with antimicrobials is required to reduce the economic losses.

38 There are several opportunistic and pathogenic microbes that infect fish, resulting in great morbidity

- 39 and mortality. Amongst such microbes are bacteria such as Aeromonas hydrophila, Edwardsiella
- 40 tarda, Flavobacterium columnare, Francisella spp., Pseudomonas spp., Mycobacterium marinum,
- 41 Mycobacterium fortuinum, Streptococcus iniae and Staphylococcus aureus (2).

Antibiotics at therapeutic or growth promoting levels are usually administered for short periods of 42 43 time orally to sets of fish that share the same culture facility. Oxytetracycline, florfenicol, and 44 ulfadimethoxine/ormetoprim are the antimicrobials authorized in United States of America for use in 45 aquaculture (3). Emerging antimicrobial resistance, due to use of antimicrobials, is a public health 46 concern in human and animal medicine worldwide. In fish farming industry, the widespread use of 47 the limited synthetic antibiotics for treating bacterial diseases has been associated with development 48 of antibiotic resistance in Aeromonas hydrophila, A. salmonicida, Edwardsiella tarda, E. icttaluri, 49 Pseudomonas spp., Vibrio anguillarum, V. salmonicida, Pasteurella piscida and Yersinia ruckeri (4, 50 5, 6). The European Union banned their use because of the risk of chemical residues in food, the 51 development of resistant pathogen strains which can be transferred from animals to humans, 52 immune suppression, destabilization of helpful bacterial populations as well as the environmental 53 pollution because up to 70-80 percent of the drug ends up in the environment (7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 54 14).

55 Scientists have been searching for efficacious natural alternatives to antibiotics aimed at promoting 56 animal health. Such alternatives include phytobiotics, probiotics, synbiotics and organic acid. The 57 antimicrobial activities of phyobiotics (phytogenics) such as tamarind (15, 16, 17), black pepper, 58 curry leaf, coriander (18) turmeric and ginger (19), onion and walnut leaf (20), essential oil from 59 Pakistani spices (21) and leaves, bark and root of guava among others (22) have been investigated 60 as possible alternatives to the synthetic antibiotics. The antibacterial activities from plant origin have 61 been linked to the presence of bioactive phytochemicals in such plants. Phytochemicals contain 62 secondary metabolites such as alkaloid, saponin, tannin, terpenoids and phenolic compounds which 63 have been associated with antimicrobial, antioxidants and antiinflammatory properties (23, 24).

64 Tamarindus indica Linn (tamarind), a multipurpose tree widely available in the tropics, is of great 65 importance in traditional medicine. The leaves and bark of the plants have been utilized for the 66 treatment of body pain, yellow fever and stomach disorders traditionally (15). Compounds such as 67 carvacrol, cinnamaldehyde, epicathechin, lupeol, tartaric acid are components of tamarind (25, 26, 68 and 27). (28 and 29) also reported antibacterial, antifungal, antiviral, antioxidant, carminative, 69 digestive and laxatives activities of tamarind. Most of the earlier researchers on the use of natural 70 alternatives to antibiotics had focused mainly on pathogens relating to human and terrestrial live 71 stocks. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine the phytochemical composition and 72 antimicrobial properties of tamarind extracts against some aguatic pathogenic organisms.

73 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

74 **2.1 Source of plant materials and preparation**

Tamarind leaf and fruit were obtained from the environment of Teaching and Research Farm
College of Agriculture, Kwara State, University, Malete. The plant parts were taken to the herbarium
of the Department of Botany, University of Ibadan and the plant was identified as *Tamarindus indica*

Linn. and given the Voucher Number: UIH-22550. The fruit husk was carefully removed, the pulp
was scrapped from the seeds, remnant of pulp was washed and the seed coat removed. The leaves,
pulp and seed coats were air-dried under shade.

81 2.2 Plant Extraction

82 Both the leaves and seed coats of tamarind were ground with blender, while the pulp was blend with 83 small volume of the solvent for extraction and later top up to the required volume. The extraction of 84 tamarind leaf, seed coat and pulp was carried out using maceration method with distilled water and 85 ethanol. Each sample was mixed with ordinary distilled water, warm distilled water at 50°C, Hot 86 distilled water at 80° C (30) and 96% ethanol at a ratio 1:10 (w/v) (31). The mixtures of plant parts 87 were homogenized and the kept on rotary shaker (32) for 2 days. The homogenized mixtures were 88 centrifuged (SE-CF-TDZ-WS, Labkits, U-Therm International (Hong Kong) Limited) at 4000 rpm for 89 30 minutes at room temperature and the supernatant collected, sieved with double layer of muslin 90 cloth after which it was filtered through Whatman No.4 filter paper. The solvents were removed under vacuum using a rotary evaporator (IKA[®] RV10, Artisan Technology Group, Champaign, US) at 91 60°C for ethanol and 90°C for water. The concentrated extracts were further dried in freeze-drier 92 93 (LYOTRAP, LTE Scientific Ltd., Great Britain) and kept in freezer before use.

94 2.3 Qualitative Phytochemical screening of tamarind extracts

The extract of the seed coat, the pulp and the leaves of tamarind were evaluated for qualitative
determination of major phytoconstituents which include reducing sugar, terpenoids, alkaloids,
cardiac glycosides, flavonoids, saponins and tannins as described by (33 and 34).

98 **2.4** *In vitro* screening of antimicrobial activity of tamarind extracts.

99 2.4.1 Source of microorganisms

100 Pure isolates of Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus substilis, Salmonella typhi,

101 Pseudomonas putida, Enterobacter gergovia, Hafnia alvei and Aeromonas hydrophila were obtained 102 from the laboratory stock of the Departments of Microbiology and Veterinary Medicine, University of 103 Ibadan, Nigeria. The organisms were sub-cultured on nutrient agar in plates within 24hrs at 37^oC and 104 thereafter the isolates were grown on nutrient agar slants and preserved in refrigerator at 4^oC during 105 the study.

106 2.4.2 Agar well diffusion assay

107 The antimicrobial activity of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of tamarind leaf, seed coat and pulp 108 against the aforementioned isolates was determined as described by (35) and (36) standards. The 109 bacteria were sub-cultured from the preserved slants for 24 hour before use. Mueller-Hinton Agar was 110 prepared, sterilized, allowed to cool to room temperature and then poured into plates to about 4mm 111 depth under an aseptic condition. 24-hour old culture of each test organisms was standardized to 0.5 112 McFarland standards (10⁶ CFU/ml). About 100µl of the standardized cell suspensions was spread on

113 Mueller-Hinton agar plates in triplicates. Four wells were bored on each plate with a sterile 6mm

114 diameter cork borer; 100 µl of the crude extracts at 10mg/ml were introduced into the wells, allowed to

- stand at room temperature for about 30 minutes. Controls were set up in parallel using the solvent
- used for extraction as well as two synthetic antibiotics, Oxytetracycline and Erythromycin commonly
- 117 used in aquaculture and livestock industry as therapeutic agents. The volume and concentration of
- 118 the synthetic antibiotics were the same with those of the tamarind extracts. The plates were incubated
- 119 at 37[°]C for 24h and then observed for inhibition zone diameter (mm).

120 2.4.3 Minimum Inhibitory Concentration of tamarind Extracts

- 121 Estimation of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of the tamarind extracts was carried out using
- agar dilution method. Two-fold dilutions of antimicrobial agents were prepared as described by (36)
- 123 from 10.24mg/ml of each using distilled water as diluents. Briefly, 18mls Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA)
- 124 was prepared in McCartney bottles & sterilized. The sterilize MHA was allowed to cool to 50°C in
- 125 water bath after which 2mls of each diluted antimicrobial agent was gently mixed with MHA and
- 126 poured into sterilized petri- dishes under aseptic condition. This was allowed to gel and cooled for 1
- hour. A 24-h old culture of each of the test organisms was serially diluted in 0.85% sterilized saline
- 128 water to standardize the organisms to 0.5 McFarland standards (10⁶ CFU/ml). 1ml syringe was used
- to deliver 2 drops of the standardized inoculums to 100mm diameter plate equivalent to approximately
- 130 40µl per plate. The inoculum was spread on the agar surface and the plates were allowed to stand at
- 131 room temperature for about 30 minutes to ensure the moisture in the inoculum is absorbed into the
- agar. The plates were then inverted and incubated at 37°C. The plates were thereafter observed after
- 133 20 to 24-hour incubation period for growth of organism. The lowest concentration of tamarind extracts
- and the synthetic antibiotics that completely inhibits growth of the inoculum was recorded as MIC.

135 2.4.4 Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) of tamarind extract

- 136 Sterile inoculating loop was used to pick from the MIC plates and streak on a sterilized MHA plate
- 137 surfaces. The inoculated plates were incubated at 37[°]C for 24hour. The lowest concentration in which
- tamarind extracts and the synthetic antibiotics did not allow growth of organisms on the MHA plates
- 139 was recorded as MBC.

140 2.5 Statistical analysis

- 141 One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze the data on zones of inhibition. Duncan
- 142 multiple range tests was used to compare differences among means at 5% probability level using
- 143 statistical software SAS (Statistical Analysis System, 2010).

144 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- 145
- 146 **3.1 Phytochemical constituents in tamarind extracts**
- 147 The result on phytochemical screening of tamarind extracts (Table 1) revealed presence of reducing
- sugar, flavonoid, saponin, terpenoids while tannin and cardiac glycosides were absent.
- 149
- 150
- 151
- 152

153 154 Table 1: Results of gualitative phytochemical screening of tamarind extract

Samples	Phytochemicals						
	Alkaloid	Cardiac glycosides	Flavonoids	Reducing sugar	Saponin	Tannin	Terpenoid
LOW	+	-	+	+	+	-	+
LWW	+	-	+	+	+	-	+
LHW	+	-	+	+	+	-	+
LET	+	-	+	+	+	-	+
POW	+	-	+	+	+	-	+
PWW	+	-	+	+	+	-	+
PHW	+	-	+	+	+	-	+
PET	+	-	+	+	+	-	+
SOW	+	-	+	+	+	-	+
SWW	+	-	+	+	+	-	+
SHW	+	-	+	+	+	-	+
SET	+	-	+	+	+	-	+

 155
 LOW = Leaf Ordinary Water
 LWW = Leaf Warm Water
 LHW = Leaf Hot Water
 LET = Leaf Ethanol
 POW = Pulp Ordinary Water
 PWW = Pulp Hot Water
 PWW = Pulp Hot Water
 PHW = Pulp Hot Water
 SHW = Seed Coat Hot Water
 SCET = Seed

 156
 PHW = Pulp Hot Water
 PET = Pulp Ethanol
 SOW = Seed Coat Ordinary Water
 SWW = Seed Coat Warm Water
 SHW = Seed Coat Hot Water
 SCET = Seed

 157
 Coat
 Ethanol

158 **3.2 Antimicrobial activities of tamarind extracts**

159 **3.2.1 Zones of inhibition of tamarind extracts**

160 Table 2 shows the results of zone of inhibition of the tamarind extracts compared to the synthetic

161 antibiotics. The synthetic antibiotics used had significantly higher (P = .05) zones of inhibition than the

162 tamarind extracts for all the test organisms. Tamarind Pulp Hot Water (PHW) extract significantly

163 inhibited Aeromonas hydrophila better than other extracts while Leaf Ethanolic (LET) extract had the

164 lowest zone of inhibition against A. hydrophila. Leaf Warm Water (LWW) extracts had significantly

165 higher (P = .05) zone of inhibition against Pseudomonas putida. Higher significant zone of inhibition

166 was also exhibited by PHW extract against Hafnia alvei. The zones of inhibition of LWW, LHW, PHW

- 167 extracts against Escherichia coli were significantly higher (P = .05) than other extracts while the seed
- 168 coat showed no antimicrobial activities against *E. coli* and *Bacillus subtilis*. Pulp Ethanol Extract (PET)
- had significantly higher (P = .05) inhibition (12.00mm) against Salmonella typhi.
- 170 171
- 172
- 173
- 174
- 175

TE/A	me pathogens Pathogens							
	A. hydrophila	P. putida	E. gergovia	H. alvei	E. coli	S. aureus	B. subtilis	S. typhi
Solvents	0.00 ^t	0.00 ^h	0.00'	0.00 ^ĸ	0.00 [†]	0.00 ^c	0.00 ^g	0.00 ^h
ERY	21.33ª	22.00 ^a	26.00 ^a	15.00 ^b	32.67 ^a	28.33 ^a	26.67 ^a	25.67
отс	22.33 ^a	13.33 ^b	15.00 ^b	21.00 ^a	25.67 ^b	27.67 ^a	22.00 ^b	26.33
LOW	9.67 ^{de}	9.33 ^{etg}	9.67 ^{de}	11.00 ^g	9.33 ^e	9.67 ^b	10.00 ^c	10.00
LWW	11.67 ^c	12.00 ^c	11.00 ^c	11.67 ^e	10.67 ^{cd}	11.00 ^b	11.00 ^c	10.00
LHW	10.67 ^{cd}	10.00 ^{de}	11.00 ^c	11.00 ^g	11.00 ^{c.}	11.00 ^b	11.67 ^c	11.00
LET	8.67 ^e	8.67 ^{tg}	9.33 ^g	10.00 ^j	9.00 ^e	9.00 ^b	9.00 ^t	9.00 ^g
POW	10.33 ^{cde}	9.67 ^{def}	10.00 ^e	11.33 ^f	9.00 ^e	9.00 ^b	9.67 ^{ef}	10.00
PWW	10.67 ^{cd}	10.00 ^{de}	10.00 ^d	11.67 ^e	9.33 ^e	9.00 ^b	9.67 ^c	11.00
PHW	13.33 ^b	10.67 ^d	11.00 ^c	13.33 ^c	11.33 ^c	9.00 ^b	9.33 ^c	11.00
PET	9.67 ^{de}	9.00 ^{efg}	10.00 ^d	12.00 ^d	9.67 ^e	9.00 ^b	9.00 ^c	12.00
SOW	9.33 ^{de}	8.33 ^g	9.00 ^f	10.00 ^j	0.00 ^f	9.00 ^b	0.00 ^e	9.67 [†]
SWW	10.33 ^{cde}	9.00 ^{efg}	9.00 ^f	10.67 ^h	0.00 ^f	9.00 ^b	0.00 ^e	9.67 ^f
SHW	11.00 ^{cd}	9.67 ^{det}	10.00 ^d	10.33 [']	0.00 ^t	9.00 ^b	6.00 ^d	10.00
SET	11.00 ^{cd}	9.00 ^{etg}	11.33 ^c	10.00 ^J	0.00 ^t	9.00 ^b	0.00 ^e	9.00 ⁹
SEM	0.578	0.423	0.158	0.274	0.428	0.765	0.942	0.318

176 **Table 2:** Antagonistic activity (mm) of synthetic antibiotics and tamarind extracts at 10mg/ml 177 against some pathogens

178

Means with the same letter on the same row are not significantly different at P =

 TE/A = Tamarind extracts/Antibiotics ERY= Erythromycin
 OTC = Oxytetracycline
 LOW = Leaf Ordinary Water
 LWW = Leaf Warm Water
 LHW = Leaf Hot Water
 LET

 180
 = Leaf Ethanol
 POW =
 Pulp Ordinary Water
 PWW = Pulp Warm Water
 PHW = Pulp Hot Water
 PET = Pulp Ethanol
 SOW =
 Seed
 Coat Ordinary Water
 SWW =

 181
 Seed
 Coat
 Hot Water
 SET =
 Seed
 Coat
 Enterobacter
 H = Hafnia
 E =

 182
 Escherichia
 S = Staphylococcus
 B = Bacillus
 S = Salmonella

183**3.2.2 Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum Bactericidal**184Concentration (MBC) of tamarind extract

185 MIC and MBC of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of tamarind against the test organisms in

186 comparison to erythromycin and oxytetracycline are shown in Table 3. The lowest MIC, 0.64mg/ml,

187 was obtained for oxytetracycline and erythromycin against *E. gergovia* and *E. coli* respectively.

188 Amongst the leaf extracts, LWW extract exhibited lower MIC against all the test organisms except for

189 *P. putida* and *S. typhi* against which higher values were obtained. Similar value of MIC, 2.56mg/ml,

190 was also exhibited by all tamarind pulp extracts against the test organisms except for *P. putida* while

191 higher values of MIC were obtained from seed coat extracts. MBC value of 1.28mg/ml was obtained

192 for oxytetracycline against A. hydrophila and MIC of 2.56 against S. typhi. MBC value of 2.56mg/ml

193 was similarly exhibited by POW and PWW extracts against S. typhi and PHW and PET extracts

against *E. coli* and *S. aureus* while MBC was not exhibited by the synthetic antibiotics against these

195 three pathogens.

TE

196 Table 3: Minimum Inhibitory Concentration and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (mg/ml)

197 of two synthetic antibiotics and tamarind extracts against some pathogens

TE/A	Pathogens							
	A. hydrophila	P. putida	E. gergovia	H. alvei	E. coli	S. aureus	B. subtilis	S. typhi
ERY	1.28	1.28	1.28	2.56	0.64	1.28	2.56	5.12
OTC	1.28*	2.56	0.64	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.28	2.56
LOW	10.24	10.24	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12
LWW	2.56	10.24	2.56	2.56	2.56	2.56	2.56	5.12*
LHW	5.12	5.12	2.56	2.56	2.56	5.12	5.12	5.12*
LET	2.56	5.12	2.56	2.56	5.12	10.24	5.12	5.12*
POW	2.56	5.12	2.56	2.56	2.56	2.56	2.56	2.56*
PWW	2.56*	5.12	2.56	2.56	2.56	2.56	2.56*	2.56*
PHW	2.56	5.12	2.56*	2.56	2.56*	2.56*	2.56	2.56
PET	2.56	5.12	2.56	2.56	2.56*	2.56*	2.56	2.56
SOW	10.24	10.24	5.12	10.24	10.24	NA	10.24	NA
SWW	10.24	10.24	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12
SHW	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12
SET	10.24	10.24	10.24	10.24	10.24	NA	10.24	10.24*

 198
 *Minimum Bactericidal Concentration NA- Not Active at the highest concentration used
 1E/A = Tamarind extracts/Antibiotics
 ERY= Erythromycin OTC = Oxytetracycline

 199
 LOW = Leaf Ordinary Water
 LWW = Leaf Warm Water LHW = Leaf Hot Water
 LET = Leaf Ethanol
 POW = Pulp Ordinary Water
 PWW = Pulp Warm Water
 PHW

 200
 = Pulp Hot Water
 PET = Pulp Ethanol
 SOW = Seed
 Coat Ordinary Water
 SWW = Seed
 Coat Hot Water
 SET = Seed
 Coat

 201
 Ethanol
 A = Aeromonas P = Pseudomonas E = Enterobacter H = Hafnia E = Escherichia S = Staphylococcus B = Bacillus
 S = Salmonella

203 **3.2.3 Discussion**

202

204 This result of the phytoconstituents of tamarind in this study is similar to what has been reported by 205 other researchers (15, 16, and 37) on the phytoconstituents of tamarind. However, the absent of 206 tannins from this study is contrary to other reports. Antibacterial activity obtained from tamarind 207 extracts in this study coincided with the reports of other researchers who studied antibacterial 208 activities of phytogenics. (15) similarly reported higher zones of inhibition from synthetic antibiotic 209 compared with tamarind extracts; however higher zone of inhibition and lower MIC values were 210 obtained from leaf extract in this study. Also in contrast to (15), not all extracts in this study exhibit 211 bactericidal (MBC) activity. (16) also reported better antibacterial activity of aqueous tamarind pulp

212 extract compared to the ethanolic extract against *P. aeroginosa*. Furthermore, lack of clear or lower

213 zone of inhibition discovered in this study against S. typhi and S. aureus coincided with (16)

214 observation.

215 Higher zones of inhibition obtained from oxytetracycline compared to tamarind extracts against the 216 test organisms is in agreement with the report of (17) but lower MIC values were obtained from the 217 tamarind aqueous extracts against A. hydrophila and S. aureus than what the researchers reported 218 from clove aqueous extract. Contrary to the absence of antimicrobial activity reported (19) on turmeric 219 and ginger root aqueous extract, tamarind pulp and leaf aqueous extract in our study exhibited 220 antibacterial activities against Pseudomonas and E. coli. The absence of antibacterial activities of the 221 seed coat extracts against E. coli and B. subtilis is similar to the observation of (20) on lack of 222 antibacterial activities of onion bulb and walnut leaf extracts against B. subtilis and E. coli 223 respectively.

224 Lower MIC values were discovered in this study from all tamarind pulp extracts as well as warm and 225 hot aqueous leaf extracts than the MIC values reported (21) on Pakistani spices against E. coli and S 226 aureus. The MIC value of the plant extracts examined (22) against E. coli is similar to 2.5mg/ml 227 obtained in this study while lower value was obtained in this study against A. hydrophila than what the 228 authors reported. Generally, the isolates investigated in this study were more sensitive to warm and 229 hot water extracts than to ordinary water extracts and ethanolic extracts. The higher antibacterial 230 activity of the warm and hot aqueous extracts in this study might be an indication of higher solubility of 231 phytoconstituents in water at higher temperature than lower temperature. The demonstration of better 232 antibacterial activity from warm and hot aqueous extract provides the scientific basis for the boiling of 233 herbs by the traditional folks in disease treatments. The use of the aqueous extracts is of better 234 economic advantage for fish farmer because ethanol is more costly than distilled water.

235 4. Conclusion

The antibacterial activity demonstrated by tamarind extracts in this study shows that the extracts could be used to control bacterial associated with the aquatic environment and fish products. The discovery from this study is an indication that tamarind pulp and leaf warm/hot extract could be used as possible

- 239 phytogenic to control Aeromonas and Pseudomonas infection in fish as well as protect fish products
- 240 from poisoning organisms. Further study is however needed on the concentration of tamarind extracts
- that would be as effective as synthetic antibiotics, the *in vivo* toxicological investigation and
- 242 performance of farmed fish using warm and hot aqueous extracts of tamarind leaf and pulp.

243 **REFERENCES**

- Lafferty K.D., Harvell C.D., Conrad J.M., Friedman C.S., Kent M.L., Kuris A.M., Powell E.N.,
 Rondeau D. and Saksida S.M. infectious diseases affect marine fisheries and aquaculture
 economics. *Annual Review of Marine Science*. 2015; 7:471–496.
- Edward J.N. Fish Diseases Diagnosis and Treatment. Second Edition. Wiley Blackwell
 Publisher. 2012; 184 268.

- Romero J., Feijoo C.G. and Navarrete P. Antibiotics in Aquaculture Use, Abuse and
 Alternatives. Health and Environment in Aquaculture, Dr. Edmir Carvalho (Ed.). (2012); Tech
 159-198.
- Depaola A., Peller J.T. and Rodrick G.E. Effect of oxytetracycline-medicated feed on antibiotic resistance of gram-negative bacteria in catfish ponds. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 1995; 61(9): 2335-2340.
- Jacob L and Chenia H.Y. Characterization of integrations and tetracycline resistance
 determinants of *Aeromonas* spp isolated from South African aquaculture systems.
 International Journal of Food Microbiology. 2007; 114: 295-306.
- Newaj-Fyzul A., Mutani A., Ramsubbag A. and Adesiyun A. Prevalence of bacteria pathogens and their antimicrobial resistance in Tilapia and their pond water in Trinidad.
 Zoonoses Public Health. 2008; 55:206-213.
- 7. Wegenal H.C. Antibiotic in animal feed and their role in resistance development. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*. 2003; 6(5): 439-445.
- 263 8. FAO. Responsible use of antibiotics in aquaculture. FAO Fisheries Technical Papers, by
 264 P.H. Serrano. 2005; 1- 43.
- Shalaby A.M., Khahab Y.A. and Abdelrahman A.M. Effects of garlic (*allium sativum*) and
 chloramphenicol on growth performance physiological parameters and survival of Nile tilapia
 (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Journal of Venomous Animals and Toxins Including Tropical
 Diseases. 2006; 12(2): 172-201.
- Hart K.J., Y´a nez-Ruiz D.R., Duval S.M., McEwan N.R., Newbold C.J. Plant extracts to
 manipulate rumen fermentation. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. Science Direct.
 2007; 1-28.
- Makkar H.P.S., Francis G., and Becker K. Bioactivity of phytochemicals in some lesser
 known plants on livestock and aquaculture production systems. *Animal.* 2007; 1(9): 13711391.
- 275 12. John W. Plants and plant extracts to replace growth-promoting antibiotics in farm livestock
 276 production. *Knowledgescotland*, Science Policy Connection. 2010.
 277 http://www.knowledgescotland.org/briefings.php?family=1
- Sajid M., Prabjeet S., Munir H. S. and Khusheeba M. Emerging Role of Immunostimulants
 in Combating the Disease Outbreak in Aquaculture. *International Aquatic Resource*. 2011; 3:
 147-163.
- 281 14. Gent Y., Wang K.Y., Huang X.L., Chen D.F., Li C.W., Ren S.Y., Liao Y.T. Zhou CZ.Y., Liu
 282 Q.F., Du Z.J., and Lai W.M. *Streptococcus agalactiae*, an emerging pathogen for cultured
 283 Ya-fish, *Schizothorax prenanti* in China. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*. 2012;
 284 59:369-375.
- 285 15. Dhoughari J.H. Antimicrobial activity of *Tamarindus indica* Linn. *Tropical Journal of* 286 *Pharmaceutical Research*. 2006; 5(2):597-603.

287 16. Daniyan S.Y. and Muhammad H.B. Evaluation of the antimicrobial activities and 288 phytochemical properties of extracts of Tamarindus indica against some diseases causing 289 bacteria. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2008; 7(14): 2451-2453. 290 17. Gumgumjee N.M., Alaa K and Hajar A.S. Antimicrobial activities and chemical properties of 291 Tamarindus indica L leaves extract. African Journal of Microbiology Research. 2012; 6(32): 292 6171-6181. 293 18. Najiah M., Nadirah M., Arief Z., Zahrol S., Tee L.W., Ranzi A.D., Amar A.S., Laith A.R., 294 Maham R., Suzanz S., Aida R.J. Antibacterial activity of Malaysian edible herbs extracts on 295 fish pathogenic bacteria. Research Journal of Medicinal Plant. 2011; 5: 772-778. 296 19. Pedge S.S. and Ahirrao S.D. Antimicrobial activities of turmeric and ginger root used in the 297 treatment of fish diseases. International Journal of Biosciences. 2012; 2(2): 81-84. 298 20. Bello O.S., Olaifa F.E., Emikpe B.O. and Ogunbanwo S.T. Potential of walnut 299 (Tetracarpidium conophorum Mull. Arg) leaf and onion (Allium cepa Linn) bulb extracts as 300 antimicrobial agents for fish. African Journal of Microbiology Research. 2013; 7(19): 2027-301 2033. 302 21. Naveed R., Hussain I., Tawab A, Targ M., Rahman M., Hammed S., Mahmood M.S., 303 Siddigue A.B., Ighal M.C. Antimicrobial activity of the bioactive components of essential oils 304 from Parkistani spices against Salmonella and other multidrug resistance bacterial. BMC 305 Complementary and Alternative Medicine. 2013; 13: 265-275. 306 22. Ramalivhana J.N., Obi C.L., Iweriebor B.C., Uaboi-Egbenni P., Idiagbe J.E. and Momba 307 M.N.B. Antibacterial activity of honey and medicinal plant extracts against gram negative 308 microorganism. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2014; 13(4): 616-625. 309 23. Zablotowicz R.M., Hoagland R.E., Wagner S.C. Effect of saponins on the growth and 310 activity of rhizosphere bacteria. Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology. 1996; 405: 311 83-95. 312 24. Lewis K. and Ausubel F.M. Prospects of plant derived antibacterial. National Biotechnology. 313 2006; 24: 1504-1507. 314 25. Bala S.A. Some Ethnomedical Plants of the Savanna Regions of West Africa; Description and phytochemicals. Volume 2, Triumph Publishing Limited, Nigeria. 2006; 176-191. 315 316 26. Shehla I., Azhar M., Hasan M., Ali M.S. and Ahmed S.W. Two triterpenes lupanone and 317 lupeol isolated and identified from Tamarindus indica Linn. Pakistan Journal of 318 Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2007; 20: 125-127. 319 27. Samina K.K., Shaikh W., Shahzadi S., Kazi T.G., Usmanghani K., Kabir A. and Sheerazi 320 T.H. Chemical constituents of Tamarindus indica; Medicinal plant in Sindh. Pakistan Journal 321 of Botany. 2008; 40: 2553-2559. 322 28. Bhadoriya S.S., Ganeshpurkar A., Narwaria J., Rai G., and Jain A.P. Tamarindus indica: 323 Extent of explored potential. *Phamacognosy Review*. 2011; 5(9): 73-81. 324 29. Gupta A., Naraniwal M. and Kothari V. Modern extraction methods for preparation of 325 bioactive plant extracts. International Journal of Pure and Natural Sciences. 2012; 1(1): 8 -326 26.

- 327 30. Turker H, Yıldırım A.B., Karakaş F.P. Sensitivity of bacteria isolated from fish to some
 328 medicinal plants. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 2009; 9: 181-186.
- 329 31. Ghassan A., Harbant S., and Muhammad S. Evaluating eco-friendly botanicals (natural
 330 plant extracts) as alternatives to synthetic fungicides. *Annals of Agricultural and* 331 *Environmental Medicine*. 2012; 19(4): 673 676.
- 332 32. Milud A., Hassan D., Siti K. B. and Ali A. Antimicrobial activities of some culinary spice
 assa extracts against *Streptococcus agalactiae* and its prophylactic uses to prevent streptococcal
 infection in red hybrid tilapia (*Oreochromis sp.*). *World Journal of Fish and Marine Sciences*.
 2010; 2(6): 532-538.
- 33. Sofowora A. Medicinal plants and traditional medicine in Africa. Spectrum Books Limited,
 337 Ibadan, Nigeria. 1993; 150pp.
- 338 34. Trease G.E. and Evans W.C. Pharmacognsy, 11th Edition. Brailliar Tiridel Canada,
 339 Macmillian Publishers. 1989; 176-180.
- 340 35. Cordero M., Borbon H., Roman F.R., Viquez R., Villegas L. R., Soto R., Vega I., and
 341 Henera J.M. Antimicrobial activity and phytochemical screening of secondary metabolites
 342 extracted from the Ascidian *Rhopalaea birkelandi. European Journal of Scientific Research.*343 2012; 70(3): 345-353.
- 36. CLSI. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Clinical and Laboratory
 Standards Institute's Twenty-Second Information Supplement. 2012; 32(3):44-160.
- 346 37. Subramanya J.K. and Muttagi S. *In vitro* color change of three dental veneering resins in
 347 tea, coffee and tamarind extracts. *Journal of Dentistry Tehran University of Medical*348 *Sciences*. 2012; 8(3): 138-145.