



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_35030
Title of the Manuscript:	Production of raw starch degrading amylase by Bacillus subtilis TLO3 and its application in bioethanol production using starch-rich flours
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript entitled "Production of raw starch degrading amylase by Bacillus subtilis TLO3 and its application in bioethanol production using starch-rich flours" describes interesting finds about the application of amylases on bioethanol production. However, some important mistakes were seen and must be revised. The text need a revision. In page 1, line 49, the sentence needs to be revised. I would suggest that the authors show the maximal production of amylase in results, since the Bacillus subtilis TLO3 is amylase hyperproducer. Furthermore the units of amylase applied in saccharification of flours must be reported. In page 2 there is a mistake, OVAT or OFAT (one-factor-at-time) methodology? The author must be show in material and methods the enzymatic assays.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Lucia R.L.Zimbardi
Department, University & Country	Universidade de São Paulo – USP, Brazil