Original research paper

# Phytochemical components and antibacterial activity of *Tamarindus indica* Linn. extracts against some Pathogens

#### **ABSTRACT**

- 6 **Aim**: to determine the phytochemical composition and antimicrobial properties of tamarind extracts on some aquatic pathogenic bacteria.
- 8 Study design: Completely Randomized Design (CRD)
- 9 Place and duration of the study: Department of Animal Production, Fisheries and Aquaculture,
- 10 Kwara State University, Malete, Nigeria, between August 2014 and April, 2015.
- 11 **Methodology**: The phytochemical constituents in ordinary, warm and hot water as well as ethanol
- 12 extracts of tamarind seed coat, pulp and leaves were screened. The Zone of Inhibition (ZOI) diameter
- 13 (mm) and Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC)
- against some aquatic pathogenic bacteria were determined. Data were analyzed using ANOVA at P =
- 15 .05.

31

1

2

3

4

5

- 16 Results: The result revealed presence of reducing sugar, flavonoid, saponin and terpenoids in all
- 17 tamarind extracts. The synthetic antibiotics used had significantly higher ZOI than the tamarind
- 18 extracts for all the test organisms. Tamarind pulp hot water extract significantly inhibited Aeromonas
- 19 hydrophila and Hafnia alvei than other extracts while leaf warm and hot water extracts had
- 20 significantly higher zone of inhibition against *Pseudomonas putida*. The best MIC was obtained for
- 21 oxytetracycline and erythromycin against *Enterobacter gergovia* and *Escherichia coli* respectively.
- Pulp extracts and erythromycin exhibited the same MIC, 2.56mg/ml, for *Bacillus subtilis* and *H. alvei*
- while the former had lower MIC (2.56mg/ml) against Salmonella typhi than the MIC (5.12mg/ml) of the
- later. Oxytetracycline and tamarind extracts also demonstrated the same MIC (2.56mg/ml) against S.
- 25 *typhi*. Pulp extracts exhibited MBC for most of the test organisms.
- 26 Conclusion: warm and hot aqueous tamarind pulp and leaf extracts demonstrated better
- 27 antimicrobial activities against some bacteria used in this study and hence the extracts could be used
- 28 to control such microbes associated with the aquatic environment and fish products.
- 29 **Key words**: Tamarind, antibacterial activity, phytochemical, minimum inhibitory
- 30 concentration, synthetic antibiotic

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

- 32 Some of the major challenges facing fish culturists are adequate sources of low cost quality feed,
- 33 availability of quality fish feed and promotion of fish health. As aquaculture becomes more and more
- 34 intensive, feeds and disease prevention are significant factors in increasing the productivity and
- 35 profitability of aquaculture. Hence, investing in disease prevention and treatment is crucial in aqua
- 36 ventures to stay profitable (1). Intensive aquaculture has led to growing problems with bacterial
- 37 diseases and so intensive treatment with antimicrobials is required to reduce the economic losses.
- 38 There are several opportunistic and pathogenic microbes that infect fish, resulting in great morbidity
- 39 and mortality. Amongst such microbes are bacteria such as Aeromonas hydrophila, Edwardsiella
- 40 tarda, Flavobacterium columnare, Francisella spp., Pseudomonas spp., Mycobacterium marinum,
- 41 Mycobacterium fortuinum, Streptococcus iniae, Staphylococcus aureus (2)

Antibiotics at therapeutic or growth promoting levels are usually administered for short periods of time orally to sets of fish that share the same culture facility. Oxytetracycline, florfenicol, and ulfadimethoxine/ormetoprim are the antimicrobials authorized in United States of America for use in aquaculture (3). Emerging antimicrobial resistance, due to use of antimicrobials, is a public health concern in human and animal medicine worldwide. In fish farming industry, the widespread use of the limited synthetic antibiotics for treating bacterial diseases has been associated with development of antibiotic resistance in *Aeromonas hydrophila*, *A. salmonicida*, *Edwardsiella tarda*, *E.icttaluri*, *Pseudomonas spp.*, *Vibrio anguillarum*, *V. salmonicida*, *Pasteurella piscida* and *Yersinia ruckeri* (4, 5, 6). The European Union banned their use because of the risk of chemical residues in food, the development of resistant pathogen strains which can be transferred from animals to humans, immune suppression, destabilization of helpful bacterial populations as well as the environmental pollution because up to 70-80 percent of the drug ends up in the environment (7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14).

Scientists have been searching for efficacious natural alternatives to antibiotics aimed at promoting animal health. Such alternatives include phytobiotics, probiotics, synbiotics and organic acid. The antimicrobial activities of phyobiotics (phytogenics) such as tamarind (15, 16, 17), black pepper, curry leaf, coriander (18) turmeric and ginger (19), onion and walnut leaf (20), essential oil from Pakistani spices (21) and leaves, bark and root of guava among others (22) have been investigated as possible alternatives to the synthetic antibiotics. The antibacterial activities from plant origin have been linked to the presence of bioactive phytochemicals in such plants. Phytochemicals contain secondary metabolites such as alkaloid, saponin, tannin, terpenoids and phenolic compounds which have been associated with antimicrobial, antioxidants and antiinflammatory properties (23, 24).

Tamarindus indica Linn (tamarind), a multipurpose tree widely available in the tropics, is of great importance in traditional medicine. The leaves and bark of the plants have been utilized for the treatment of body pain, yellow fever and stomach disorders traditionally (15). Compounds such as carvacrol, cinnamaldehyde, epicathechin, lupeol, tartaric acid are components of tamarind (25, 26, and 27). (28 and 29) also reported antibacterial, antifungal, antiviral, antioxidant, carminative, digestive and laxatives activities of tamarind. Most of the earlier researchers on the use of natural alternatives to antibiotics had focused mainly on pathogens relating to human and terrestrial live stocks. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine the phytochemical composition and antimicrobial properties of tamarind extracts against some aguatic pathogenic organisms.

#### 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Source of plant materials and preparation

Tamarind leaf and fruit were obtained from the environment of Teaching and Research Farm
College of Agriculture, Kwara State, University, Malete. The plant parts were taken to the herbarium
of the Department of Botany, University of Ibadan and the plant was identified as *Tamarindus indica* 

- 78 Linn. The fruit husk was carefully removed, the pulp was scrapped from the seeds, remnant of pulp
- 79 was washed and the seed coat removed. The leaves, pulp and seed coats were air-dried under
- 80 shade.

81

#### 2.2 Plant Extraction

82 Both the leaves and seed coats of tamarind were ground with blender, while the pulp was blend with 83 small volume of the solvent for extraction and later top up to the required volume. The extraction of 84 tamarind leaf, seed coat and pulp was carried out using maceration method with distilled water and 85 ethanol. Each sample was mixed with ordinary distilled water, warm distilled water at 50°C, Hot distilled water at 80°C (30) and 96% ethanol at a ratio 1:10 (w/v) (31). The mixtures of plant parts 86 87 were homogenized and the kept on rotary shaker (32) for 2 days. The homogenized mixtures were 88 centrifuged (SE-CF-TDZ-WS, Labkits, U-Therm International (Hong Kong) Limited) at 4000 rpm for 89 30 minutes at room temperature and the supernatant collected, sieved with double layer of muslin 90 cloth after which it was filtered through Whatman No.4 filter paper. The solvents were removed under vacuum using a rotary evaporator (IKA® RV10, Artisan Technology Group, Champaign, US) at 91 92 60°C for ethanol and 90°C for water. The concentrated extracts were further dried in freeze-drier 93 (LYOTRAP, LTE Scientific Ltd., Great Britain) and kept in freezer before use.

#### 94 2.3 Qualitative Phytochemical screening of tamarind extracts

- 95 The extract of the seed coat, the pulp and the leaves of tamarind were evaluated for qualitative
- 96 determination of major phytoconstituents which include reducing sugar, terpenoids, alkaloids,
- 97 cardiac glycosides, flavonoids, saponins and tannins as described by (33 and 34).

#### 98 2.4 In vitro screening of antimicrobial activity of tamarind extracts.

#### 2.4.1 Source of microorganisms

- 100 Pure isolates of Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus substilis, Salmonella typhi,
- 101 Pseudomonas putida, Enterobacter gergovia, Hafniaalvei and Aeromonas hydrophila were obtained
- 102 from the laboratory stock of the Departments of Microbiology and Veterinary Medicine, University of
- 103 Ibadan, Nigeria. The organisms were sub-cultured on nutrient agar in plates within 24hrs at 37°C and
- thereafter the isolates were grown on nutrient agar slants and preserved in refrigerator at 4°C during
- 105 the study.

99

106

#### 2.4.2 Agar well diffusion assay

- The antimicrobial activity of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of tamarind leaf, seed coat and pulp
- 108 against the aforementioned microbes was determined as described by 35 and 36 standards. The
- 109 bacteria were sub-cultured from the preserved slants for 24 hour before use. Mueller-Hinton Agar was
- 110 prepared, sterilized, allowed to cool to room temperature and then poured into plates to about 4mm
- depth under an aseptic condition. 24-hour old culture of each test organisms was standardized to 0.5
- 112 McFarland standards (10<sup>6</sup> CFU/ml). About 100μl of the standardized cell suspensions was spread on
- 113 Mueller-Hinton agar plates in triplicates. Four wells were bored on each plate with a sterile 6mm

- 114 diameter cork borer; 100 µl of the crude extracts at 10mg/ml were introduced into the wells, allowed to 115 stand at room temperature for about 30 minutes. The plates were then incubated at 37°C for 24h. Controls were set up in parallel using the solvent used for extraction as well as two synthetic 116 117 antibiotics, Oxytetracycline and Erythromycin commonly used in aquaculture and livestock industry. 118 The plates were observed for inhibition zone diameter (mm). 119 2.4.3 Minimum Inhibitory Concentration of tamarind Extracts 120 Estimation of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of the tamarind extracts was carried out using 121 agar dilution method. Two-fold dilutions of antimicrobial agents were prepared as described by (36) 122 from 10.24mg/ml of each using distilled water as diluents. Briefly, 18mls Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA) 123 was prepared in McCartney bottles & sterilized. The sterilize MHA was allowed to cool to 50°C in 124 water bath after which 2mls of each diluted antimicrobial agent was gently mixed with MHA and 125 poured into sterilized petri- dishes under aseptic condition. This was allowed to gel and cooled for 1 126 hour. A 24-h old culture of each of the test organisms was serially diluted in 0.85% sterilized saline water to standardize the organisms to 0.5 McFarland standards (10<sup>6</sup> CFU/ml). 1ml syringe was used 127 128 to deliver 2 drops of the standardized inoculums to 100mm diameter plate equivalent to approximately 129 40µl per plate. The inoculum was spread on the agar surface and the plates were allowed to stand at 130 room temperature for about 30 minutes to ensure the moisture in the inoculum is absorbed into the 131 agar. The plates were then inverted and incubated at 37°C. The plates were thereafter observed after 132 20 to 24-hour incubation period for growth of organism. The lowest concentration of tamarind extracts 133 and the synthetic antibiotics that completely inhibits growth of the inoculum was recorded as MIC. 134 2.4.4 Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) of tamarind extract 135 Sterile inoculating loop was used to pick from the MIC plates and streak on a sterilized MHA plate
- Sterile inoculating loop was used to pick from the MIC plates and streak on a sterilized MHA plate surfaces. The inoculated plates were incubated at 37°C for 24hour. The lowest concentration in which tamarind extracts and the synthetic antibiotics did not allow growth of organisms on the MHA plates
- 138 was recorded as MBC.

#### 2.5 Statistical analysis

- One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze the data on zones of inhibition. Duncan
- multiple range tests was used to compare differences among means at 5% probability level using
- statistical software SAS (Statistical Analysis System, 2010).

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

145 **3.** 

#### 3.1 Phytochemical constituents in tamarind extracts

The result on phytochemical screening of tamarind extracts (Table 1) revealed presence of reducing sugar, flavonoid, saponin, terpenoids while tannin and cardiac glycosides were absent.

147 148

139

143 144

146

149

150

151

152

Table 1: Results of qualitative phytochemical screening of tamarind extract

| Samples |          |                       | Phytochemicals |                   |         |        |           |  |  |
|---------|----------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------|--------|-----------|--|--|
|         | Alkaloid | Cardiac<br>glycosides | Flavonoids     | Reducing<br>sugar | Saponin | Tannin | Terpenoid |  |  |
| LOW     | +        | -                     | +              | +                 | +       | -      | +         |  |  |
| LWW     | +        | -                     | +              | +                 | +       | -      | +         |  |  |
| LHW     | +        | -                     | +              | +                 | +       | -      | +         |  |  |
| LET     | +        | -                     | +              | +                 | +       | -      | +         |  |  |
| POW     | +        | -                     | +              | +                 | +       | -      | +         |  |  |
| PWW     | +        | -                     | +              | +                 | +       | -      | +         |  |  |
| PHW     | +        | -                     | +              | +                 | +       | -      | +         |  |  |
| PET     | +        | -                     | +              | +                 | +       | -      | +         |  |  |
| SOW     | +        | -                     | +              | +                 | +       | -      | +         |  |  |
| SWW     | +        | -                     | +              | +                 | +       | -      | +         |  |  |
| SHW     | +        | -                     | +              | +                 | +       | -      | +         |  |  |
| SET     | +        | -                     | +              | +                 | +       | -      | +         |  |  |

#### 3.2 Antimicrobial activities of tamarind extracts

#### 3.2.1 Zones of inhibition of tamarind extracts

Table 2 shows the results of zone of inhibition of the tamarind extracts compared to the synthetic antibiotics. The synthetic antibiotics used had significantly higher (P = .05) zones of inhibition than the tamarind extracts for all the test organisms. Tamarind Pulp Hot Water (PHW) extract significantly inhibited *Aeromonas hydrophila* better than other extracts while Leaf Ethanolic (LET) extract had the lowest zone of inhibition against *A. hydrophila*. Leaf Warm Water (LWW) extracts had significantly higher (P = .05) zone of inhibition against *Pseudomonas putida*. Higher significant zone of inhibition was also exhibited by PHW extract against *Hafnia alvei*. The zones of inhibition of LWW, LHW, PHW extracts against *Escherichia coli* were significantly higher (P = .05) than other extracts while the seed coat showed no antimicrobial activities against *E. coli* and *Bacillus subtilis*. Pulp Ethanol Extract (PET) had significantly higher (P = .05) inhibition (12.00mm) against *Salmonella typhi*.

Table 2: Antagonistic activity (mm) of synthetic antibiotics and tamarind extracts at 10mg/ml against some pathogens

| TE/A Pathogens |                      |                     |                    |                    |                     |                    |                    |                    |
|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                | A.<br>hydrophila     | P.<br>putida        | E.<br>gergovia     | H.<br>alvei        | E. coli             | S.<br>aureus       | B.<br>subtilis     | S.<br>typhi        |
| Solvents       | 0.00 <sup>†</sup>    | 0.00 <sup>h</sup>   | 0.00'              | 0.00 <sup>k</sup>  | 0.00 <sup>†</sup>   | 0.00°              | 0.00 <sup>g</sup>  | 0.00 <sup>h</sup>  |
| ERY            | 21.33 <sup>a</sup>   | 22.00 <sup>a</sup>  | 26.00 <sup>a</sup> | 15.00 <sup>b</sup> | 32.67 <sup>a</sup>  | 28.33 <sup>a</sup> | 26.67 <sup>a</sup> | 25.67 <sup>b</sup> |
| OTC            | 22.33 <sup>a</sup>   | 13.33 <sup>b</sup>  | 15.00 <sup>b</sup> | 21.00 <sup>a</sup> | 25.67 <sup>b</sup>  | 27.67 <sup>a</sup> | 22.00 <sup>b</sup> | 26.33 <sup>a</sup> |
| LOW            | 9.67 <sup>de</sup>   | 9.33 <sup>etg</sup> | 9.67 <sup>de</sup> | 11.00 <sup>g</sup> | 9.33 <sup>e</sup>   | 9.67 <sup>b</sup>  | 10.00°             | 10.00 <sup>e</sup> |
| LWW            | 11.67 <sup>c</sup>   | 12.00 <sup>c</sup>  | 11.00°             | 11.67 <sup>e</sup> | 10.67 <sup>cd</sup> | 11.00 <sup>b</sup> | 11.00°             | 10.00 <sup>e</sup> |
| LHW            | 10.67 <sup>cd</sup>  | 10.00 <sup>de</sup> | 11.00°             | 11.00 <sup>g</sup> | 11.00 <sup>c.</sup> | 11.00 <sup>b</sup> | 11.67 <sup>c</sup> | 11.00 <sup>d</sup> |
| LET            | 8.67 <sup>e</sup>    | 8.67 <sup>tg</sup>  | 9.33 <sup>g</sup>  | 10.00 <sup>J</sup> | 9.00 <sup>e</sup>   | 9.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 9.00 <sup>†</sup>  | 9.00 <sup>g</sup>  |
| POW            | 10.33 <sup>cde</sup> | 9.67 <sup>def</sup> | 10.00 <sup>e</sup> | 11.33 <sup>t</sup> | 9.00 <sup>e</sup>   | 9.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 9.67 <sup>ef</sup> | 10.00 <sup>e</sup> |
| PWW            | 10.67 <sup>cd</sup>  | 10.00 <sup>de</sup> | 10.00 <sup>d</sup> | 11.67 <sup>e</sup> | 9.33 <sup>e</sup>   | 9.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 9.67 <sup>c</sup>  | 11.00 <sup>d</sup> |
| PHW            | 13.33 <sup>b</sup>   | 10.67 <sup>d</sup>  | 11.00°             | 13.33°             | 11.33 <sup>c</sup>  | 9.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 9.33°              | 11.00 <sup>d</sup> |
| PET            | 9.67 <sup>de</sup>   | 9.00 <sup>efg</sup> | 10.00 <sup>d</sup> | 12.00 <sup>d</sup> | 9.67 <sup>e</sup>   | 9.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 9.00°              | 12.00 <sup>c</sup> |
| SOW            | 9.33 <sup>de</sup>   | 8.33 <sup>g</sup>   | 9.00 <sup>f</sup>  | 10.00 <sup>j</sup> | 0.00 <sup>f</sup>   | 9.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.00 <sup>e</sup>  | 9.67 <sup>f</sup>  |
| SWW            | 10.33 <sup>cde</sup> | 9.00 <sup>efg</sup> | 9.00 <sup>f</sup>  | 10.67 <sup>h</sup> | 0.00 <sup>f</sup>   | 9.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.00 <sup>e</sup>  | 9.67 <sup>f</sup>  |
| SHW            | 11.00 <sup>cd</sup>  | 9.67 <sup>det</sup> | 10.00 <sup>d</sup> | 10.33 <sup>1</sup> | $0.00^{\dagger}$    | 9.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 6.00 <sup>d</sup>  | 10.00 <sup>e</sup> |
| SET            | 11.00 <sup>cd</sup>  | 9.00 <sup>etg</sup> | 11.33°             | 10.00 <sup>J</sup> | $0.00^{\dagger}$    | 9.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.00 <sup>e</sup>  | 9.00 <sup>g</sup>  |
| SEM            | 0.578                | 0.423               | 0.158              | 0.274              | 0.428               | 0.765              | 0.942              | 0.318              |

TE/A = Tamarind extracts/Antibiotics ERY= Erythromycin OTC = Oxytetracycline LOW = Leaf Ordinary Water LWW = Leaf Warm Water LHW = Leaf Hot Water LET = Leaf Ethanol POW = Pulp Ordinary Water PWW = Pulp Warm Water PHW = Pulp Hot Water PET = Pulp Ethanol SOW = Seed Coat Ordinary Water SWW = Seed Coat Warm Water SHW = Seed Coat Hot Water SET = Seed Coat Ethanol A = Aeromonas P = Pseudomonas E = Enterobacter H = Hafnia E = Escherichia S = Staphylococcus B = Bacillus S = Salmonella

# 3.2.2 Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) of tamarind extract

MIC and MBC of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of tamarind against the test organisms in comparison to erythromycin and oxytetracycline are shown in Table 3. The lowest MIC, 0.64mg/ml, was obtained for oxytetracycline and erythromycin against *E. gergovia* and *E. coli* respectively. Amongst the leaf extracts, LWW extract exhibited lower MIC against all the test organisms except for *P. putida* and *S. typhi* against which higher values were obtained. Similar value of MIC, 2.56mg/ml, was also exhibited by all tamarind pulp extracts against the test organisms except for *P. putida* while higher values of MIC were obtained from seed coat extracts. MBC value of 1.28mg/ml was obtained for oxytetracycline against *A. hydrophila* and MIC of 2.56 against *S. typhi*. MBC value of 2.56mg/ml

was similarly exhibited by POW and PWW extracts against *S. typhi* and PHW and PET extracts against *E. coli* and *S. aureus* but MBC was not exhibited by the synthetic antibiotics against these three pathogens.

Table 3: Minimum Inhibitory Concentration and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (mg/ml) of two synthetic antibiotics and tamarind extracts against some pathogens

| TE/A | Pathogens        |              |                |             |            |              |                |             |
|------|------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|
|      | A.<br>hydrophila | P.<br>putida | E.<br>gergovia | H.<br>alvei | E.<br>coli | S.<br>aureus | B.<br>subtilis | S.<br>typhi |
| ERY  | 1.28             | 1.28         | 1.28           | 2.56        | 0.64       | 1.28         | 2.56           | 5.12        |
| OTC  | 1.28*            | 2.56         | 0.64           | 1.28        | 1.28       | 1.28         | 1.28           | 2.56        |
| LOW  | 10.24            | 10.24        | 5.12           | 5.12        | 5.12       | 5.12         | 5.12           | 5.12        |
| LWW  | 2.56             | 10.24        | 2.56           | 2.56        | 2.56       | 2.56         | 2.56           | 5.12*       |
| LHW  | 5.12             | 5.12         | 2.56           | 2.56        | 2.56       | 5.12         | 5.12           | 5.12*       |
| LET  | 2.56             | 5.12         | 2.56           | 2.56        | 5.12       | 10.24        | 5.12           | 5.12*       |
| POW  | 2.56             | 5.12         | 2.56           | 2.56        | 2.56       | 2.56         | 2.56           | 2.56*       |
| PWW  | 2.56*            | 5.12         | 2.56           | 2.56        | 2.56       | 2.56         | 2.56*          | 2.56*       |
| PHW  | 2.56             | 5.12         | 2.56*          | 2.56        | 2.56*      | 2.56*        | 2.56           | 2.56        |
| PET  | 2.56             | 5.12         | 2.56           | 2.56        | 2.56*      | 2.56*        | 2.56           | 2.56        |
| SOW  | 10.24            | 10.24        | 5.12           | 10.24       | 10.24      | NA           | 10.24          | NA          |
| SWW  | 10.24            | 10.24        | 5.12           | 5.12        | 5.12       | 5.12         | 5.12           | 5.12        |
| SHW  | 5.12             | 5.12         | 5.12           | 5.12        | 5.12       | 5.12         | 5.12           | 5.12        |
| SET  | 10.24            | 10.24        | 10.24          | 10.24       | 10.24      | NA           | 10.24          | 10.24*      |

#### 3.2.3 Discussion

This result of the phytoconstituents of tamarind in this study is similar to what has been reported by other researchers (15, 16, and 37) on the phytoconstituents of tamarind. However, the absent of tannins from this study is contrary to other reports. Antibacterial activity obtained from tamarind extracts in this study coincided with the reports of other researchers who studied antibacterial activities of phytogenics. (15) similarly reported higher zones of inhibition from synthetic antibiotic compared with tamarind extracts; however higher zone of inhibition and lower MIC values were obtained from leaf extract in this study. Also in contrast to (15) not all extracts in this study exhibit bactericidal (MBC) activity. (16) also reported better antibacterial activity of aqueous tamarind pulp

- 211 extract compared to the ethanolic extract against *P. aeroginosa*. Furthermore, lack of clear or lower
- 212 zone of inhibition discovered in this study against *S.typhi* and *S.aureus* coincided with the observation
- 213 of (16).
- 214 Higher zones of inhibition obtained from oxytetracycline compared to tamarind extracts against the
- 215 test organisms is in agreement with the report of (17) but lower MIC values were obtained from the
- 216 tamarind aqueous extracts against A. hydrophila and S. aureus than what the researchers reported
- 217 from clove aqueous extract. Contrary to the absence of antimicrobial activity reported (19) on turmeric
- 218 and ginger root aqueous extract, tamarind pulp and leaf aqueous extract exhibit antibacterial activities
- 219 against Pseudomonas and E. coli. The absence of antibacterial activities of the seed coat extracts
- 220 against E. coli and B. subtilis is similar to the observation of (20) on lack of antibacterial activities of
- onion bulb and walnut leaf extracts against *B. subtilis* and *E. coli* respectively.
- 222 Lower MIC values were discovered in this study from all tamarind pulp extracts as well as warm and
- 223 hot aqueous leaf extracts than what was reported (21) on Pakistani spices against E. coli and S
- 224 aureus. The MIC value of the plant extracts examined (22) against E. coli is similar to 2.5mg/ml
- obtained in this study while lower value was obtained in this study against A. hydrophila than what the
- 226 authors reported. Generally, the isolates investigated in this study were more sensitive to warm and
- 227 hot water extracts than to ordinary water extracts and ethanolic extracts. The higher antibacterial
- 228 activity of the warm and hot aqueous extracts in this study might be an indication of higher solubility of
- 229 phytoconstituents in water at higher temperature than lower temperature. The demonstration of better
- 230 antibacterial activity from warm and hot aqueous extract provides the scientific basis for the boiling of
- 231 herbs by the traditional folks in disease treatments. The use of the aqueous extracts is of better
- economic advantage for fish farmer because ethanol is more costly than distilled water.

#### 4. Conclusion

233

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

- 234 The antibacterial activity demonstrated by tamarind extracts in this study shows that the extracts could
- 235 be used to control bacterial associated with the aquatic environment and fish products. The discovery
- from this study is an indication that tamarind pulp and leaf warm/hot extract could be used as possible
- 237 phytogenic to control Aeromonas and Pseudomonas infection in fish as well as protect fish products
- 238 from poisoning organisms. Further study is however needed on the concentration of tamarind extracts
- that would be as effective as synthetic antibiotics and the in vivo investigation in farmed fish using
- warm and hot aqueous extract of tamarind leaf and pulp.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Lafferty K.D., Harvell C.D., Conrad J.M., Friedman C.S., Kent M.L., Kuris A.M., Powell E.N., Rondeau D. and Saksida S.M. infectious diseases affect marine fisheries and aquaculture economics. *Annual Review of Marine Science*. 2015; 7:471–496.
- Edward J.N. Fish Diseases Diagnosis and Treatment. Second Edition. Wiley Blackwell Publisher. 2012; 184 – 268.
  - Romero J., Feijoo C.G. and Navarrete P. Antibiotics in Aquaculture Use, Abuse and Alternatives. Health and Environment in Aquaculture, Dr. Edmir Carvalho (Ed.). (2012); Tech 159-198.

264

265

266

- 4. Depaola A., Peller J.T. and Rodrick G.E. Effect of oxytetracycline-medicated feed on antibiotic resistance of gram-negative bacteria in catfish ponds. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 1995; 61(9): 2335-2340.
- 5. Jacob L and Chenia H.Y. Characterization of integrations and tetracycline resistance determinants of *Aeromonas* spp isolated from South African aquaculture systems. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*. 2007; 114: 295-306.
- 256 6. Newaj-Fyzul A., Mutani A., Ramsubbag A. and Adesiyun A. Prevalence of bacteria 257 pathogens and their antimicrobial resistance in Tilapia and their pond water in Trinidad. 258 *Zoonoses Public Health.* 2008; 55:206-213.
- 7. Wegenal H.C. Antibiotic in animal feed and their role in resistance development. *Current Opinion in Microbiology.* 2003; 6(5): 439-445.
- 8. FAO. Responsible use of antibiotics in aquaculture. FAO Fisheries Technical Papers, by P.H. Serrano. 2005; 1- 43.
  - Shalaby A.M., Khahab Y.A. and Abdelrahman A.M. Effects of garlic (allium sativum) and chloramphenicol on growth performance physiological parameters and survival of Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus). Journal of Venomous Animals and Toxins Including Tropical Diseases. 2006; 12(2): 172-201.
- Hart K.J., Y'a nez-Ruiz D.R., Duval S.M., McEwan N.R., Newbold C.J. Plant extracts to manipulate rumen fermentation. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. Science Direct.
   269 2007; 1-28.
- 270 11. Makkar H.P.S., Francis G., and Becker K. Bioactivity of phytochemicals in some lesser 271 known plants on livestock and aquaculture production systems. *Animal.* 2007; 1(9): 1371-272 1391.
- 12. John W. Plants and plant extracts to replace growth-promoting antibiotics in farm livestock
   production. *Knowledgescotland*, Science Policy Connection. 2010.
   <a href="http://www.knowledgescotland.org/briefings.php?family=1">http://www.knowledgescotland.org/briefings.php?family=1</a>
- Sajid M., Prabjeet S., Munir H. S. and Khusheeba M. Emerging Role of Immunostimulants
   in Combating the Disease Outbreak in Aquaculture. *International Aquatic Resource*. 2011; 3:
   147-163.
- 279 14. Gent Y., Wang K.Y., Huang X.L., Chen D.F., Li C.W., Ren S.Y., Liao Y.T. Zhou CZ.Y., Liu Q.F., Du Z.J., and Lai W.M. *Streptococcus agalactiae*, an emerging pathogen for cultured Ya-fish, *Schizothorax prenanti* in China. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*. 2012; 59:369-375.
- 283 15. Dhoughari J.H. Antimicrobial activity of *Tamarindus indica* Linn. *Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*. 2006; 5(2):597-603.
- 285 16. Daniyan S.Y. and Muhammad H.B. Evaluation of the antimicrobial activities and phytochemical properties of extracts of *Tamarindus indica* against some diseases causing bacteria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 2008; 7(14): 2451-2453.

- 288 17. Gumgumjee N.M., Alaa K and Hajar A.S. Antimicrobial activities and chemical properties of 289 *Tamarindus indica* L leaves extract. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*. 2012; 6(32): 6171-6181.
- 18. Najiah M., Nadirah M., Arief Z., Zahrol S., Tee L.W., Ranzi A.D., Amar A.S., Laith A.R.,
   Maham R., Suzanz S., Aida R.J. Antibacterial activity of Malaysian edible herbs extracts on
   fish pathogenic bacteria. *Research Journal of Medicinal Plant.* 2011; 5: 772-778.
- 19. Pedge S.S. and Ahirrao S.D. Antimicrobial activities of turmeric and ginger root used in the treatment of fish diseases. *International Journal of Biosciences*. 2012; 2(2): 81-84.
- 20. Bello O.S., Olaifa F.E., Emikpe B.O. and Ogunbanwo S.T. Potential of walnut (*Tetracarpidium conophorum* Mull. Arg) leaf and onion (*Allium cepa* Linn) bulb extracts as antimicrobial agents for fish. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*. 2013; 7(19): 2027-2033.
- Naveed R., Hussain I., Tawab A, Tarq M.,Rahman M., Hammed S., Mahmood M.S.,
   Siddique A.B., Ighal M.C. Antimicrobial activity of the bioactive components of essential oils
   from Parkistani spices against *Salmonella* and other multidrug resistance bacterial. *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine*. 2013; 13: 265-275.
- Ramalivhana J.N., Obi C.L., Iweriebor B.C., Uaboi-Egbenni P., Idiagbe J.E. and Momba
   M.N.B. Antibacterial activity of honey and medicinal plant extracts against gram negative
   microorganism. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 2014; 13(4): 616-625.
- Zablotowicz R.M., Hoagland R.E., Wagner S.C. Effect of saponins on the growth and
   activity of rhizosphere bacteria. *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology*. 1996; 405:
   83-95.
- 24. Lewis K. and Ausubel F.M. Prospects of plant derived antibacterial. *National Biotechnology*.
  2006; 24: 1504-1507.
- 25. Bala S.A. Some Ethnomedical Plants of the Savanna Regions of West Africa; Description and phytochemicals. Volume 2, Triumph Publishing Limited, Nigeria. 2006; 176-191.
- 314 26. Shehla I., Azhar M., Hasan M., Ali M.S. and Ahmed S.W. Two triterpenes lupanone and lupeol isolated and identified from *Tamarindus indica* Linn. *Pakistan Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2007; 20: 125-127.
- Samina K.K., Shaikh W., Shahzadi S., Kazi T.G., Usmanghani K., Kabir A. and Sheerazi
   T.H. Chemical constituents of *Tamarindus indica*; Medicinal plant in Sindh. *Pakistan Journal* of Botany. 2008; 40: 2553-2559.
- 28. Bhadoriya S.S., Ganeshpurkar A., Narwaria J., Rai G., and Jain A.P. *Tamarindus indica*: Extent of explored potential. *Phamacognosy Review.* 2011; 5(9): 73-81.
- 322 29. Gupta A., Naraniwal M. and Kothari V. Modern extraction methods for preparation of bioactive plant extracts. *International Journal of Pure and Natural Sciences*. 2012; 1(1): 8 26.
- 30. Turker H, Yıldırım A.B., Karakaş F.P. Sensitivity of bacteria isolated from fish to some medicinal plants. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 2009; 9: 181-186.

- 31. Ghassan A., Harbant S., and Muhammad S. Evaluating eco-friendly botanicals (natural plant extracts) as alternatives to synthetic fungicides. *Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine*. 2012; 19(4): 673 676.
- 32. Milud A., Hassan D., Siti K. B. and Ali A. Antimicrobial activities of some culinary spice extracts against *Streptococcus agalactiae* and its prophylactic uses to prevent streptococcal infection in red hybrid tilapia (*Oreochromis sp.*). *World Journal of Fish and Marine Sciences*. 2010; 2(6): 532-538.
- 33. Sofowora A. Medicinal plants and traditional medicine in Africa. Spectrum Books Limited, 335 Ibadan, Nigeria. 1993; 150pp.
- 336 34. Trease G.E. and Evans W.C. Pharmacognsy, 11<sup>th</sup> Edition. Brailliar Tiridel Canada, Macmillian Publishers. 1989; 176-180.
- 35. Cordero M., Borbon H., Roman F.R., Viquez R., Villegas L. R., Soto R., Vega I., and Henera J.M. Antimicrobial activity and phytochemical screening of secondary metabolites extracted from the Ascidian *Rhopalaea birkelandi. European Journal of Scientific Research.* 2012; 70(3): 345-353.
- 36. CLSI. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute's Twenty-Second Information Supplement. 2012; 32(3):44-160.
- 37. Subramanya J.K. and Muttagi S. *In vitro* color change of three dental veneering resins in tea, coffee and tamarind extracts. *Journal of Dentistry Tehran University of Medical Sciences*. 2012; 8(3): 138-145.