# **Original Research Article**

# Effects of drying methods on phytochemicals in *Thevetia neriifolia* parts and its evaluation as a potential rodenticide

#### 5 Abstract

1 2

Synthetic rodenticides are effective and rapid in controlling rats; however, they are toxic to non-6 target species including humans, as well as the environment. Thevetia neriifolia (Pers.) K. 7 Schum different parts however, reportedly have toxic effects on rodents. Effects of fresh, air and 8 9 sun-drying methods on phyto-constituents of flowers, leaves, root, seed and stem bark and its potentiality in the control of rodents were therefore, investigated in this study. Analyses showed 10 the presence of free agyclones: Thevetin A (1.88mg/gm) and B (1.64 mg/gm), cardiac glycosides 11 (1.49mg/gm), alkaloid (1.36 %) and digitoxin (1.32 mg/gm) in highest concentrations. Phenols 12 (6.90x10<sup>-2</sup> mg/gm), (2.21x10<sup>-2</sup>mg/gm) from flavonoids, Tannins (1.13x10<sup>-2</sup>mg/gm) and steroids 13  $(6.70 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mg/gm})$  were in moderate concentrations while antraquinone  $(0.70 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mg/gm})$  were 14 15 the lowest. Antraquinone was also not detected in flower part. Sun-dried parts had highest 16 concentrations of Thevetin A (1.47 mg/gm), cardiac glycosides (1.39 mg/gm) and Thevetin B (1.27mg/gm) followed by air-drying for cardiac glycoside (1.33mg/gm) and Thevetin A. Fresh 17 for Thevetins A 1.25mg/gm, and B 1.10mg/gm, and cardiac glycoside 0.93mg/gm and tannins, 18 respectively while antraquinone and terpenes were undetected. Higher phytochemicals content 19 were in leaf followed by stem bark, then air-drying due to interaction of sun-drying methods and 20 parts of plant. Free aglycones Thevetins A and B, cardiac glycosides, digitoxin, oleadrin, tannins, 21 22 phenols and steroids in Thevetia neriifolia plant parts were not affected by different drying methods. Therefore, the relatively high cardiac glycosides and free aglycone in different parts of 23 Thevetia neriifolia may be exploited for natural rodenticidal purpose. 24

# Keywords: *Thevetia neriifolia*, Phytochemicals-concentration, Drying-methods, Cardiac glycosides, Free aglycones

#### 27 Introduction

28 *Thevetia neriifolia* (Pers.), yellow oleander is a small tree which belongs to Apocynaceae family,

29 generally used as ornamental plant (1). Details of botanical description (2) and medical uses (3,

30 4, 5, 6) of *Thevetia neriifolia* have been documented. Earlier reports of studies on plant parts

revealed that seeds of *T. peruviana* possesses insecticidal (7, 8), fungicidal (9, 10), bactericidal
(9, 11) and rodenticidal (12) values.

In literature, (13, 14, 15, 16) all parts of *Thevetia neriifolia*, especially, seeds were reportedly
toxic to vertebrates and rodents. However, properly soaked and appropriately processed seeds
was edible and of high nutritional quality to broiler chickens (17, 18).

36 Although, synthetic chemicals are often used and are more effective in rapid control of rats, there have been documented accidents (19) due to consumption by non targeted organisms 37 38 particularly, humans. Rodenticides specially designed to kill rodents, pose particular risk for accidental poisoning because rodents usually share human environments. Rodenticides are 39 40 controversial due to secondary poisoning and their risks of exposure to humans, pets, non-target species, wildlife and environment (19). Also, there have been recent reports (20) of the likely 41 resistance and resurgence of new breeds of pests due to perpetual use of synthetic chemicals as 42 rodenticides with the attendant hazardous effects on food and environment. There is therefore the 43 44 need for natural rodenticides that will be cheaper, readily available and not likely impact negatively on human food and environment (21). 45

Available reports on effects of drying methods on phytochemicals in *Thevetia peruviana* were mostly based on seeds (17, 18. 22) with dearth of information on its concentration on other parts of the plant. It was envisaged that *Thevetia neriifolia* plant parts in powdery form could serve as a baseline for a potential natural rodenticide in form of bait. The current study was therefore, aimed at ascertaining the relative presence and composition of phytochemicals in the different parts of *Thevetia neriifolia* (Pers) as will be affected by the different drying methods.

#### 52 Materials and Methods

Experimental site: This study was undertaken at the Department of Crop Protection and Environmental Biology (CPEB), University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria. The Department is locared within latitude  $7^0$  43"N and longitude  $3^0$  54"E at an altitude of 200m with annual rainfall between 1,250-1,500mm spanning eight months (March-October) with dry spell in August; annual average temperature of 21.3<sup>o</sup>C and relative humidity of 70-80%.

Samples Sourcing: All plant parts: leaves, flowers, seeds, stem bark and roots of *Thevetia neriifolia* (Pers) were collected from Faculty of Education, University of Ibadan, Nigeria in the

month of March, 2013. Collection was by direct plucking and picking of those that had fallen off
plants. The stem bark decoction and root cutting were done using a cutlass. The fruits were
cracked to remove the hard pericarp and mesocarp.

Experimental design: The experiment was a '3x5' factorial arrangement (comprising three
 drying methods and five different plant parts) in a completely randomized design and replicated
 three times.

#### 66 **Preparation of Samples**

The plucked and picked Thevetia neriifolia plant parts were divided into two for air-drying and 67 sun-drying. Air-drying of plant parts was carried out at the Toxicology Research Laboratory, 68 Crop Protection and Environmental Biology, University of Ibadan at the temperature of  $27\pm2^{\circ}C$ 69 and relative humidity of 60-70% for twenty days. The sun-dried plant parts were dried at the 70 average temperature of  $26 \pm 4^{\circ}$ C and relative humidity of 84.5% for a period of 10 days. The 71 dried seeds collected were cracked to remove the kernels. The air and sun-dried samples were 72 73 separately milled using electric blender machine and were sieved with 2mm wire mesh to obtain fine granules (powder). They were weighed and packaged in sample bottles for analysis. The 74 quantitative analysis of phytochemicals (flavonoids, alkanoids, saponins, tannins, phenols, 75 terpenes, steroids and cardiac glycosides (Thevetins A and B, cardenoides, chalcones and 76 phlobatanins) were carried out at the Soil Miscellaneous and Organic Laboratory Consult, Ring 77 Road, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria. 78

Method of Sample Extraction: Cold water extraction was used. Twenty eight grams each of finely ground plant part sample was dissolved in 140mls of distilled water in a 250mLs conical flask and covered with aluminium paper for 24 hours continuously shaken on a shaker after which it was filtered. The filtrate was concentrated on a water bath at 40<sup>o</sup>C and labeled (23).

#### 83 Quantitative determination of phytochemicals and cardiac glycosides in T. neriifolia

Alkaloid was determined quantitatively using the method of Henry (24). Flavonoid was determined according to Allen (25) as modified (26). Saponin was analyzed spectrophotometrically by method of Brunner (27). Tannin was determined quantitatively by the method of Sofowora (28). Quantitative analyses of phenols, terpenes, steroids and cardiac glycosides, thevetins A and B, digitoxins (free aglycones) were determined analytically (29).

#### 89 Statistical Analyses

90 Data were subjected to three-way analyses of variance using the statistical package of SAS (30)

and means were separated using Duncan multiple range test of the same software

92

#### 93 **Results**:

The main effect of plant parts (flower, leaves, root, seed and stem) on phytochemical composition is presented in Table 1. Significant differences (p<0.05) were obtained in the values of the different phytochemicals in *Thevetia neriifolia* parts.

Leaf contained significantly higher (p<0.05) flavonoids (mg/g) (2.21x10<sup>-3</sup>) compared to flower 97 (1.59x10<sup>-3</sup>), stem bark (1.27x10<sup>-3</sup>), seed (0.79x10<sup>-3</sup>) and root (0.66x10<sup>-3</sup>). Alkaloid (%) was 98 higher (p>0.05) in both the stem bark (1.36) and leaves (1.25) compared with root (0.83), seed 99 (0.77) and flower (0.64). Saponin (mg/g) composition varied significantly with parts of the plant. 100 Higher saponin (mg/g) (1.22) was in the leaves but lower (0.45) in flower. The stem bark 101 contained higher antraquinone (mg/g)  $(0.70x10^{-3})$  similar to  $0.49x10^{-3}$  in root but significantly 102 higher (p<0.05) than  $0.20 \times 10^{-3}$  in leaves and  $0.17 \times 10^{-3}$  in seed while anthraquinone was not 103 detected in flower. 104

Tannins (mg/g) was higher in seed  $(1.13 \times 10^{-2})$ , flower  $(1.11 \times 10^{-2})$  and stem bark  $(1.02 \times 10^{-2})$ 105 compared with leaves  $(0.70 \times 10^{-2})$  and root  $(0.49 \times 10^{-2})$ . The seed contained significantly higher 106 levels (p<0.05) of phenols (mg/g) (6.90  $\times 10^{-2}$ ) followed by stem bark (3.29 $\times 10^{-2}$ ) while flower 107  $(1.52 \times 10^{-2})$ , leaves  $(1.52 \times 10^{-2})$  and root  $(0.91 \times 10^{-2})$  contained relatively lower values. Terpene 108 (mg/g) was higher in flower  $(6.31 \times 10^{-3})$  and seed  $(3.46 \times 10^{-3})$  compared with leaves  $(1.58 \times 10^{-3})$ , 109 stem bark  $(0.87 \times 10^{-3})$  and root  $(0.42 \times 10^{-3})$ . The steroids (mg/g) in flower  $(6.70 \times 10^{-3})$  was 110 significantly higher (p<0.05) compared with leaves  $(2.18 \times 10^{-3})$ , root  $(0.69 \times 10^{-3})$ , seed  $(3.01 \times 10^{-3})$ 111 <sup>3</sup>) and stem bark  $(0.97 \times 10^{-3})$ . 112

113 Cardiac glycosides (mg/g) were higher in leaves (1.49) while lower value of 0.97 was obtained 114 from the root. The leaves also contained significantly higher (p<0.05) cardenolide (mg/g) (1.22) 115 when compared with other plant parts. Both stem bark and leaves contained significantly higher 116 (p<0.05) chalcones (mg/g) with respective values of  $7.77 \times 10^{-3}$  and  $5.63 \times 10^{-3}$  while flower 117 (1.88×10<sup>-3</sup>), root (1.18×10<sup>-3</sup>) and seed (2.59×10<sup>-3</sup>) contained similar lower values. Stem bark had

Parameters	Flower	Leaves	Root	Seed	Stem Bark	SEM
Flavinds (x10 <sup>-3</sup> )	1.59 <sup>ab</sup>	2.21 <sup>a</sup>	0.66 <sup>c</sup>	0.79 <sup>c</sup>	1.27 <sup>bc</sup>	0.14
Alkaloid	0.64 <sup>b</sup>	1.25ª	0.83 <sup>b</sup>	0.77 <sup>b</sup>	1.36ª	0.07
Saponin	0.45 <sup>e</sup>	1.22 <sup>ª</sup>	0.81 <sup>c</sup>	0.68 <sup>d</sup>	0.93 <sup>b</sup>	0.04
Antrquinones (x10 <sup>-3</sup> )	-	0.20 <sup>b</sup>	0.49 <sup>ª</sup>	0.17 <sup>b</sup>	0.70 <sup>ª</sup>	0.07
Tanins (x10 <sup>-2</sup> )	1.11 <sup>ª</sup>	0.70 <sup>bc</sup>	0.49 <sup>c</sup>	1.13 <sup>ª</sup>	1.02 <sup>ab</sup>	0.07
Phenols (x10 <sup>-2</sup> )	1.52 <sup>b</sup>	1.52 <sup>b</sup>	0.91 <sup>b</sup>	6.90ª	3.29 <sup>ab</sup>	0.76
Terpene (x10 <sup>-3</sup> )	6.31ª	1.58 <sup>b</sup>	0.42 <sup>b</sup>	3.46 <sup>ab</sup>	0.87 <sup>b</sup>	0.70
Steroids (x10 <sup>-3</sup> )	6.70 <sup>ª</sup>	2.18 <sup>b</sup>	0.69 <sup>b</sup>	3.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.97 <sup>b</sup>	0.68
Cardiac glycosides	1.13 <sup>c</sup>	1.49 <sup>ª</sup>	0.97 <sup>d</sup>	1.11 <sup>c</sup>	1.37 <sup>b</sup>	0.04
Cardenoides	0.91 <sup>c</sup>	1.22 <sup>ª</sup>	0.84 <sup>cd</sup>	0.81 <sup>d</sup>	1.10 <sup>b</sup>	0.04
Chalcoones (x10 <sup>-3</sup> )	1.88 <sup>b</sup>	5.63 <sup>ab</sup>	1.18 <sup>b</sup>	2.59 <sup>b</sup>	7.77 <sup>ª</sup>	0.81
Phlobatin (x10 <sup>-3</sup> )	1.72 <sup>b</sup>	1.44 <sup>b</sup>	0.86 <sup>b</sup>	1.43 <sup>b</sup>	8.56ª	0.76
Thevetin_a	0.95 <sup>c</sup>	1.88ª	0.88 <sup>c</sup>	1.46 <sup>b</sup>	1.59 <sup>b</sup>	0.06
Thevetin_b	0.86 <sup>d</sup>	1.64ª	0.71 <sup>e</sup>	1.19 <sup>c</sup>	1.42 <sup>b</sup>	0.06
Digitoxin	0.70 <sup>d</sup>	1.32ª	0.73 <sup>d</sup>	0.79 <sup>c</sup>	1.05 <sup>b</sup>	0.04
Oleanderin	0.85 <sup>b</sup>	1.24ª	0.67 <sup>c</sup>	0.88 <sup>b</sup>	0.87 <sup>b</sup>	0.03

#### 118 Table 1: Main effect of different plant parts of *Thevetia neriifolia*

119

120 Means along the same row with different superscripts are significantly (p<0.05) different

121 C.glycos = Cardiac glycoside, Antrqin= Anthraquinine, Flavo= Flavonoids

122 Carden= Cardenolides, Chalco=Chalcones

123 SEM =Standard Error Mean

significantly (p<0.05) higher phlobatanin (mg/g) ( $8.56 \times 10^{-3}$ ) compared with other plant parts 125 which contained similar values (p<0.05) ranging from 0.86  $\times 10^{-3}$  in root to 1.72  $\times 10^{-3}$  in flower. 126 127 The vet in A (mg/g) was significantly higher (p<0.05) in leaves (1.88). Similar levels of The vet in A (mg/g) were obtained from stem bark (1.59) and seed (1.46) while flower (0.95) and root 128 129 (0.86) contained lower levels. The leaves had significantly higher (p<0.05) (1.64) Thevetin B (mg/g), followed by 1.42 in the stem bark which differed from 1.19 in seed, 0.86 in flower and 130 131 much lower (0.71) in root. Digitoxin (mg/g) was higher in leaves (1.32) than stem bark (1.05) which also differed from the values of 0.79, 0.70 and 0.73 in seed, flower and root, respectively. 132 133 Oleander (mg/g) was higher in leaves (1.24) and lower in root (0.67) while flower, seed and stem bark contained similar (p>0.05) values of 0.85, 0.88 and 0.87, respectively. 134

Main effect of drying methods on phytochemicals in *Thevetia neriifolia* plant parts is shown in 135 136 Table 2. Results revealed that drying methods had no significant effect (p>0.05) on flavonoids (mg/g), phenols (mg/g), terpenes (mg/g) and chalcones (mg/g) composition of test plant. Effect 137 of drying methods was significant (p<0.05) on alkaloid (%) composition of *Thevetia neriifolia*. 138 Air-drying lowered alkaloid (%) significantly (p < 0.05) from  $1.07 \times 10^{-3}$  in fresh sample and  $1.18 \times 10^{-3}$ 139  $10^{-3}$  in sun dried sample to  $0.65 \times 10^{-3}$ . Sun-dried samples of *Thevetia* contained significantly 140 (p<0.05) higher saponin (mg/g) (0.89) levels compared with 0.78 and 0.79 in both fresh and air 141 dried samples, respectively. Sun-dried samples contained significantly higher (p<0.05) 142 antraquinone (mg/g)  $(0.67 \times 10^{-3})$  compared with  $(0.27 \times 10^{-3})$  in air-dried samples, while 143 antraquinone (mg/g) was not detected in fresh samples. Air-dried samples had significantly 144 higher (p<0.05) tannins (mg/g)  $(1.08 \times 10^{-2})$  compared with sun-dried and fresh *Thevetia* samples. 145 Sun-dried and fresh samples of *Thevetia* had similar values of tannins (mg/g)  $(0.80 \times 10^{-2} \text{ and}$ 146  $0.79 \times 10^{-2}$ ), respectively. Phenols (mg/g) and terpenes (mg/g) values were not significantly 147 148 (p>0.05) affected by drying methods. Higher value of steroids  $(mg/g) (5.95 \times 10^{-3})$  was obtained 149 from sun-dried parts.

Lower value of steroid (mg/g)  $(0.92x10^{-3})$  was obtained from air-dried and fresh samples. Similarly, higher values of cardiac glycosides (mg/g) (1.39 and 1.33) were respectively obtained from both sun-dried and air-dried samples. Fresh samples had the lower value (0.93) (mg/g) of cardiac glycoside. Effect of drying methods on chalcones (mg/g) contents was not significantly different (p>0.05) among all treatments. Phlobatanin (mg/g)  $(5.49x10^{-3})$  was higher in the air-

dried samples. Both sun-dried and fresh plant parts contained similar (p>0.05) values of phlobatanin (mg/g) (1.14x10<sup>-3</sup>). Sun-drying method left significantly higher (p<0.05) residual

157

#### 158 Table 2: Main effect of drying methods on *Thevetia neriifolia*

Parameters	Air-drying	Fresh	Sun-drying	SEM
Flavinds (x10 <sup>-3</sup> )	1.32	1.03	1.55	0.14
Alkaloid	0.65 <sup>b</sup>	1.07 <sup>a</sup>	1.18ª	0.07
Saponin	0.78 <sup>b</sup>	0.79 <sup>b</sup>	0.89ª	0.04
Antraquinones (x10 <sup>-3</sup> )	0.27 <sup>b</sup>	-	0.67ª	0.07
Tanins (x10 <sup>-2</sup> )	1.08ª	0.79 <sup>b</sup>	0.80 <sup>b</sup>	0.07
Phenols (x10 <sup>-2</sup> )	1.40	2.47	4.62	0.76
Terpene (x10 <sup>-3</sup> )	2.95	-	4.63	0.70
Steroids (x10 <sup>-3</sup> )	1.25 <sup>b</sup>	0.92 <sup>b</sup>	5.95ª	0.68
Cardiac_glycosides	1.33ª	0.93 <sup>b</sup>	1.39ª	0.04
Cardenoides	1.07ª	0.74 <sup>b</sup>	1.12 <sup>ª</sup>	0.04
Chalcoones (x10 <sup>-3</sup> )	4.18	5.39	1.86	0.81
Phlobatin (x10 <sup>-3</sup> )	5.49ª	1.14 <sup>b</sup>	1.78 <sup>b</sup>	0.76
Thevetin a	1.33 <sup>b</sup>	1.25 <sup>b</sup>	1.47 <sup>ª</sup>	0.06
Thevetin_b	1.13 <sup>b</sup>	1.10 <sup>b</sup>	1.27 <sup>ª</sup>	0.06
Digitoxin	0.94ª	0.85 <sup>b</sup>	0.98ª	0.04
Oleanderin	0.93ª	0.79 <sup>b</sup>	0.99ª	0.03

160 Means along the same row with different superscripts are significantly (p<0.05) different

value of Thevetin A (mg/g) (1.47) compared with other drying methods. Both air-dried and fresh samples had similar values while the fresh had the lower value of Thevetin A (mg/g) (1.25).

Significantly higher value of Thevetin B (mg/g) (1.27) was obtained from sun-dried samples while there was lower value (1.10) from fresh sample. Similarly, higher digitoxin (mg/g) were obtained from sun-dried and fresh samples (0.98 and 0.94), respectively. However, lower value (0.85) was obtained from fresh samples. Oleander (mg/g) was higher in sun-dried (0.99) and airdried (0.93) of *Thevetia* than in fresh plant (0.79).

Effects of interactions of plant parts and methods of drying theyetia are presented in Table 3. The 168 sun  $(3.27 \times 10^{-3})$  and air-dried  $3.07 \times 10^{-3}$ ) leaf parts had significantly higher (p<0.05) flavonoids 169 (mg/g) compared to other plant parts and drying methods. Fresh flower part also had 170 significantly higher (p<0.05) (2.23x10<sup>-3</sup>) but similar (p>0.05) to sun-dried stem bark which had 171 1.70x10<sup>-3</sup>. Fresh leaf contained lower flavonoid (mg/g) (0.28x10<sup>-3</sup>) Alkaloid (%) was higher in 172 173 sun-dried stem bark (1.52) plant part compared to other parts. Higher alkaloid (%) value of 1.43 was obtained from fresh stem bark. Alkaloid (%) contents of leaf and root parts when sun dried 174 175 or air-dried were not significantly different (p>0.05). Also, no significant difference (p>0.05) was observed in alkaloid content of fresh and sun-dried flower. 176

177

Saponin (mg/g) was higher in sun-dried (1.26) and fresh (1.19) leaves. Higher saponin (mg/g) 178 value of 1.15 was also obtained from sun-dried stem bark. Saponin (mg/g) was lower in fresh 179 180 flower part (0.41). Antraquinone (mg/g) was significantly higher in sun-dried stem bark (1.33x10<sup>-</sup> <sup>3</sup>). There were no significant differences (p>0.05) in the values obtained from the sun dried root 181 and air-dried stem bark parts. There were no significant differences (p>0.05) among the leaf, root 182 and seed parts subjected to sun-drying air-drying and sun-drying methods, respectively. 183 184 However, antraquinone (mg/g) was not detected in fresh and air dried leaf, root, seed, fresh stem 185 bark and in flower samples subjected to all methods of drying.

186

Air-dried stem bark had higher tannins  $(mg/g) (1.73 \times 10^{-2})$  followed by sundried seed  $(1.30 \times 10^{-2})$ . No significant differences were found in levels of tannins (mg/g) in air and sun-dried flowers, air-dried seed and fresh stem bark. There were no differences among obtained contents of tannins (mg/g) due to plant parts and the drying methods of flower (fresh), leaf and root (sun, air-

drying and fresh), seed (fresh) and stem bark (sun-drying). Phenol (mg/g) was higher in sundried seed part (18.33  $\times 10^{-2}$ ). There was no significant difference (p>0.05) in phenol (mg/g)

### 193 Table 3: Interaction effects of plant parts and methods of drying of *Thevetia neriifolia*

	Drying						SEM
Parameters	Method	Flower	Leaves	Root	Seed	Stem Bark	
Flavinds (x10 <sup>-3</sup> )	Air-drying	1.13 <sup>cdef</sup>	3.07 <sup>a</sup>	0.93 <sup>cdefg</sup>	0.59 <sup>efg</sup>	0.87 <sup>defg</sup>	
	Fresh	2.23 <sup>b</sup>	0.28 <sup>g</sup>	$0.60^{efg}$	$0.80^{defg}$	1.23 <sup>cde</sup>	
	Sun-						
	drying	1.40 <sup>cd</sup>	3.27 <sup>ª</sup>	0.44 <sup>fg</sup>	0.97 <sup>cdefg</sup>	1.70 <sup>bc</sup>	0.15
Alkaloid	Air-drying	0.00j	1.25 <sup>cd</sup>	0.88h	0.00j	1.12 <sup>ef</sup>	
	Fresh	0.93 <sup>gh</sup>	1.21 <sup>d</sup>	0.71i	1.10 <sup>f</sup>	1.43 <sup>b</sup>	
	Sun-						
	drying	0.99g	1.30 <sup>c</sup>	0.90h	1.19 <sup>de</sup>	1.52 <sup>ª</sup>	0.01
Saponin	Air-drying	0.46g	1.22 <sup>abf</sup>	0.84 <sup>d</sup>	0.69 <sup>ef</sup>	0.69 <sup>ef</sup>	
	Fresh	0.41g	1.19 <sup>ab</sup>	0.74 <sup>e</sup>	0.64 <sup>f</sup>	0.96 <sup>c</sup>	
	Sun-	U					
	drying	0.49g	1.26ª	0.86 <sup>d</sup>	0.70 <sup>ef</sup>	1.15 <sup>b</sup>	0.02
Antraquinones							
(x10 <sup>-3</sup> )	Air-drying	-	-	0.57 <sup>bc</sup>	-	0.77 <sup>ab</sup>	
(	Fresh	-	-	-	-	-	
	Sun-						
	drying	-	0.60 <sup>bc</sup>	0.90 <sup>ab</sup>	0.50 <sup>bc</sup>	1.33 <sup>ª</sup>	0.13
Tanins (x10 <sup>-2</sup> )	Air-drying	1.23 <sup>abc</sup>	0.70 <sup>bcdef</sup>	0.50 <sup>def</sup>	1.23 <sup>abc</sup>	1.73 <sup>a</sup>	0.20
	Fresh	0.97 <sup>bcde</sup>	0.63 <sup>cdef</sup>	0.37 <sup>ef</sup>	0.87 <sup>bcde</sup>	1.13 <sup>abcd</sup>	
	Sun-	0107	0.00	0.07	0107	1110	
	drying	1.13 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.77 <sup>bcdef</sup>	0.60 <sup>cdef</sup>	1.30 <sup>ab</sup>	0.19 <sup>f</sup>	0.12
Phenols (x10 <sup>-2</sup> )	Air-drying	1.77 <sup>b</sup>	1.57 <sup>b</sup>	0.40 <sup>b</sup>	1.20 <sup>b</sup>	2.07 <sup>b</sup>	0.112
	Fresh	1.60 <sup>b</sup>	1.03 <sup>b</sup>	0.97 <sup>b</sup>	1.17 <sup>b</sup>	7.57 <sup>b</sup>	
	Sun-	1.00	1.05	0.57	1.17	7.57	
	drying	1.19 <sup>b</sup>	1.97 <sup>b</sup>	1.37 <sup>b</sup>	18.33 <sup>ª</sup>	0.23 <sup>b</sup>	1.61
Terpene	urying	1.15	1.57	1.57	10.55	0.25	1.01
(x10 <sup>-3</sup> )	Air druing	1.60 <sup>ª</sup>	<b>2.10<sup>c</sup></b>	0.50 <sup>c</sup>	9.33 <sup>b</sup>	1.23 <sup>c</sup>	
(XIU)	Air-drying Fresh	1.00	2.10	0.30	9.55	1.25	
	Sun-	-	-	-	-	-	
		17.3ª	2.63 <sup>c</sup>	0.77 <sup>c</sup>	1.03 <sup>c</sup>	1.37 <sup>c</sup>	0.71
Staraida (v10 <sup>-3</sup> )	drying	17.5 1.60 <sup>c</sup>	2.03 <sup>c</sup>	0.77 <sup>c</sup>	0.63 <sup>c</sup>	1.13 <sup>c</sup>	0.71
Steroids (x10 <sup>-3</sup> )	Air-drying	1.60 1.17 <sup>c</sup>	2.13 1.87 <sup>c</sup>	0.77 0.43 <sup>c</sup>	0.83 0.70 <sup>c</sup>	1.13 0.43 <sup>c</sup>	
	Fresh	1.17	1.87	0.43	0.70	0.43	
	Sun-	17 28		0 07 <sup>0</sup>		1 22 <sup>0</sup>	0.00
	drying	17.3ª	2.53 <sup>c</sup>	0.87 <sup>c</sup>	7.70 <sup>b</sup>	1.33 <sup>c</sup>	0.98
Cardiac	<b>.</b>	a cof	4 603	4.65	4 coef		
glycosides	Air-drying	1.16 <sup>t</sup>	1.69 <sup>ª</sup>	1.02g	1.20 <sup>ef</sup>	1.56 <sup>°</sup>	
	Fresh	1.02g	1.05g	0.80i	0.86i	0.92h	0.01
	Sun-	1.22 <sup>ed</sup>	1.74 <sup>ª</sup>	1.07g	1.28 <sup>d</sup>	1.62 <sup>b</sup>	0.01

	drying						
Cardenoides	Air-drying	0.99 <sup>de</sup>	1.34 <sup>ab</sup>	0.92 <sup>ef</sup>	0.86 <sup>f</sup>	1.24 <sup>c</sup>	
	Fresh	0.72g	0.90 <sup>ef</sup>	0.66g	0.70g	0.74g	
	Sun-						
	drying	1.02 <sup>d</sup>	1.43ª	0.95 <sup>def</sup>	0.86 <sup>f</sup>	1.32 <sup>bc</sup>	0.02
Chalcones (x10 <sup>-</sup>							
<sup>3</sup> )	Air-drying	1.87 <sup>b</sup>	14.00 <sup>a</sup>	1.20 <sup>b</sup>	1.60 <sup>b</sup>	2.23 <sup>b</sup>	
	Fresh	1.70 <sup>b</sup>	1.30 <sup>b</sup>	0.90 <sup>b</sup>	4.37 <sup>b</sup>	18.67 <sup>ª</sup>	
	Sun-						
	drying	2.07 <sup>b</sup>	1.60 <sup>b</sup>	1.43 <sup>b</sup>	1.80 <sup>b</sup>	2.40 <sup>b</sup>	1.31
Phlobatin (x10⁻³)	Air-drying	1.77 <sup>bcde</sup>	1.63 <sup>bcdef</sup>	0.87 <sup>efg</sup>	1.50 <sup>cdefg</sup>	21.67 <sup>ª</sup>	
	Fresh	1.37 <sup>cedfg</sup>	0.80 <sup>fg</sup>	0.67g	1.30 <sup>cdefg</sup>	1.57 <sup>bcdefg</sup>	
	Sun-						
	drying	2.03 <sup>bc</sup>	1.90 <sup>bcd</sup>	1.03 <sup>defg</sup>	$1.50^{\text{cdefg}}$	2.43 <sup>b</sup>	0.17
Thevetin_a	Air-drying	0.93 <sup>d</sup>	1.74 <sup>b</sup>	0.91 <sup>d</sup>	1.53 <sup>bc</sup>	1.58 <sup>bc</sup>	
	Fresh	0.90 <sup>d</sup>	1.71 <sup>b</sup>	0.82 <sup>d</sup>	1.24 <sup>cd</sup>	1.56 <sup>bc</sup>	
	Sun-						
	drying	1.02 <sup>d</sup>	2.19 <sup>ª</sup>	0.92 <sup>d</sup>	1.59 <sup>bc</sup>	1.62 <sup>bc</sup>	0.08
Thevetin_b	Air-drying	0.82 <sup>efg</sup>	1.64 <sup>ab</sup>	0.70g	1.15 <sup>def</sup>	1.32 <sup>abcd</sup>	
	Fresh	0.72 <sup>fg</sup>	1.62 <sup>abc</sup>	0.66g	1.20 <sup>cde</sup>	1.28 <sup>abcd</sup>	
	Sun-						
	drying	$1.04^{\text{defg}}$	1.66ª	0.76 <sup>fg</sup>	1.22 <sup>bcde</sup>	1.66ª	0.08
Digitoxin	Air-drying	0.70 <sup>fg</sup>	1.32 <sup>ª</sup>	0.72 <sup>fg</sup>	0.88 <sup>e</sup>	1.07 <sup>bc</sup>	
	Fresh	0.66 <sup>gh</sup>	1.29 <sup>ª</sup>	0.69 <sup>fgh</sup>	0.61 <sup>h</sup>	0.99 <sup>cd</sup>	
	Sun-						
	drying	0.75 <sup>f</sup>	1.35ª	0.77 <sup>f</sup>	0.90 <sup>ed</sup>	1.10 <sup>b</sup>	0.02
Oleanderin	Air-drying	0.83 <sup>ef</sup>	1.24 <sup>ab</sup>	0.68 <sup>hi</sup>	1.03 <sup>c</sup>	0.87 <sup>e</sup>	
	Fresh	0.76 <sup>fg</sup>	1.20 <sup>b</sup>	0.62 <sup>i</sup>	0.56 <sup>j</sup>	0.79 <sup>f</sup>	
	Sun-						
	drying	0.96 <sup>d</sup>	1.27 <sup>ª</sup>	0.72 <sup>gh</sup>	1.05 <sup>c</sup>	0.95 <sup>d</sup>	0.01

<sup>Means along the same column within each subgroup and along the same row with different
superscripts are significantly (p<0.05) different</li></sup> 

197

contents obtained in other plant parts due to drying methods. Terpene (mg/g) was higher in sundried flower (17.3  $\times 10^{-3}$ ) compared with other dried and fresh parts while sun-dried seed (1.03 $\times 10^{-3}$ ) was lower. Air-dried seed contained appreciable level of terpene (mg/g) (9.33 $\times 10^{-3}$ ). Other plant parts had similar terpenes (mg/g) due to drying methods. Higher level of steroid (mg/g) (17.3 $\times 10^{-3}$ ) was obtained from sun-dried flower, followed by sun-dried seed (7.70 $\times 10^{-3}$ ), while other plant parts did not have significantly (p>0.05) varied levels of terpene (mg/g) due to drying methods.

205 Sun-dried and air-dried leaf contained significantly higher (p<0.05) cardiac glycoside (mg/g) (1.74 and 1.69, respectively) followed by the sun-dried and air-dried stem bark with the values of 206 207 1.62 and 1.56, respectively. Significant differences (p>0.05) were not observed in the cardiac glycoside (mg/g) contents of air-dried flower and seed, similar trend was observed in sun-dried 208 209 flower and seed. Also, no differences were found in fresh flower, leaf and sun-dried as well as 210 air-dried root. Least value of cardiac glycoside (mg/g) (0.80) was found in the fresh root. Sun 211 and air-dried leaf parts higher cardenolide (mg/g) (1.43 and 1.34, respectively). There were no observed significant differences (p>0.05) in contents of cardenolide (mg/g) in air-dried flower 212 and root, air-dried root and seed, fresh leaf and flower, fresh and sun-dried root and seed. Fresh 213 root contained least contents of cardenolide (mg/g) (0.66). Chalcone (mg/g) was higher in fresh 214 and air dried stem bark with the respective values of 18.67x10<sup>-3</sup> and 14.00x10<sup>-3</sup>. Effects of 215 interaction of plant parts and methods of drying on values from other plant parts were not 216 significantly different (p>0.05). 217

Significant differences were observed in the contents of phlobatanin (mg/g) with higher value in 218 air-dried stem bark (21.67  $\times 10^{-3}$ ). Significant differences (p>0.05) were not observed in effects 219 of interaction of plant parts and the drying methods on phlobatanin (mg/g) in other parts were not 220 significantly different (p>0.05). Least phlobatanin (mg/g) level (0.67  $\times 10^{-3}$ ) was obtained from 221 fresh root. Significantly higher (p<0.05) Thevetin A (mg/g) was obtained from sun-dried leaf 222 223 (2.19). The fresh and the air-dried leaf parts had higher Thevetin A (mg/g) with the values of 1.74 and 1.71, respectively. Effects of interaction of drying methods and parts of plant were not 224 225 significant (p>0.05) on the values of Thevetin A (mg/g) in flower, root and stem bark.

Sun-dried leaf and stem bark had significantly (p<0.05) higher Thevetin B (mg/g) (1.66 and 1.66, 226 respectively) while values obtained other parts with different drying methods were similar 227 (p>0.05). Effects of interaction of different plant parts and drying methods on digitoxin (mg/g) 228 was significant (p<0.05). The digitoxin (mg/g) contents of sundried (1.35), air-dried (1.32) and 229 fresh (1.29) leaves were higher compared to other plant parts. Least digitoxin (mg/g) was 230 231 obtained from fresh seed (0.61). The interactive effects of plant parts and drying methods on digitoxin (mg/g) in all parts of plants were significantly different (p<0.05). Sun-dried and air-232 dried leaf had significantly higher (p<0.05) oleandrin (mg/g) (1.27 and 1.24, respectively). 233

Higher oleandrin (mg/g) content was also obtained from fresh leaf (1.20) while least oleandrin (mg/g) was obtained from fresh seed and (0.50).

#### 236 Interaction Results on Thevetia neriifolia

Effect of interaction of drying methods and parts of *Thevetia neriifolia* on alkaloid was significantly higher (p<0.05) on sun-dried stem bark (1.52) compared with fresh stem bark (1.43). Lower (p<0.05) values were obtained from air-dried flower and seed (0.00), respectively.

Significantly higher (p<0.05) saponins was in sun-dried leaf (1.26), followed by air-dried (1.22)

and fresh (1.19), then, sun-dried stem bark (1.15). The air-dried, sun-dried and fresh flower

contained lower (p < 0.05) saponins (0.46).

Effect of interaction of drying methods and parts of *T. neriifolia* was significantly higher (p<0.05) on cardiac glycoside in sun-dried and air-dried leaves (1.74 and 1.69), respectively. This was followed by the stem bark (1.62) while significantly lower (p<0.05) cardiac glycosides and was in fresh seed (0.86) and root (0.80).

Interactive effects of drying methods and parts of *T. neriifolia* on Thevetin A content was significantly higher (p<0.05) in sun-dried leaf (2.19), followed by air-dried (1.74) and fresh leaves (1.71). Thevetin A was lower (p<0.05) in air-dried, sun-dried and fresh flower and root.

Effect of interaction methods of drying and parts of *T. neriifolia* on Thevetin B content was significantly higher (p<0.05) in the sun-dried, air-dried and fresh leaves (1.66, 1.64 and 1.62, respectively) and stem bark (1.66). Lower Thevetin B (p<0.05) was in the sun-dried, air-dried and fresh root (0.76, 0.70 and 0.66, respectively).

Digitoxin was significantly higher (p<0.05) in the sun-dried, air-dried and fresh leaf due to interactions of drying methods and parts of *T. neriifolia* (1.35, 1.32 and 1.29, respectively). Lower (p<0.05) digitoxin was in the fresh root (0.69) and seed (0.61).

Effect of the interaction of drying methods and parts of *T. neriifolia* was higher (p<0.05) for oleander in the sun-dried, air-dried and fresh, leaf (1.27, 1.24 and 1.20, respectively). Lower (p<0.05) oleander was found in the fresh seed (0.56).

260

#### 262 **Discussion**

The screening of *Thevetia neriifolia* plant parts (flower, leaf, root, seed and stem bark) for 263 264 phytochemicals revealed the presence of some active ingredients in all parts of plant. Thevetins A and B, cardiac glycosides, digitoxin, oleander, alkaloid and saponin were the main abundant 265 active constituents. Tannins, flavonoids, phenols and steroids were present moderately while 266 antraquinone was absent in flower parts. Similar observation was obtained on the effects of 267 268 interactive effects of plant parts and the different drying methods (air-drying, fresh and sundrying). This observation agreed with the earlier reports of Gata-Goncaive et al. (8) as well as 269 that of Essiett and Udofa (39) that phytochemicals were present in all the parts (leaves, stems and 270 flowers) of *T. neriifolia* with moderate tannins and high cardiac glycosides in leaf part. 271

These active phytochemicals were most abundant in the leaf, followed by the stem bark. The 272 273 leaf part contained the greatest oleandrin and very high digitoxin concentrations which may explain the reasons for the toxicity of T. neriifolia (31, 32). Other studies (31, 32) also concluded 274 275 that the leaf part contained the greatest oleandrin and very high digitoxin concentrations. Studies on vertebrates (33) showed that the leaf and stem bark of T. neriifolia possesses very effective 276 277 piscicidal activity. The stem bark was also reported to have toxic effects on rats by reducing the weight of the reproductive organs, a fall in total protein and glycogen of the sperms hence 278 279 reduction in fertility (34).

The seed of T. neriifolia in this study was found to contain low active constituents of cardiac 280 glycoside and cardenolides, which was contrary to reports from earlier studies (5, 12, 15; 16, 35, 281 36). This may be due to the time of flowering of the plant, in plant specie differences and 282 contents of fresh and dried parts (37, 38). The relatively high concentrations of tannins and low 283 concentrations of cardiac glycosides and other active ingredients in the flower conformed to the 284 findings of Essiett and Udofa (39) who reported moderate tannins and trace concentration of 285 cardiac glycoside in the flower part of *T. neriifolia*. The concentration of phytochemicals in root 286 287 part was lowest in this study. This conformed to the reported smaller concentrations of oleandrin 288 in the root when compared with other plant parts such as the leaves, stems and flowers (37). Conversely, Karawya et al. (37) observed that the roots contained higher concentrations of 289 cardenolides. 290

291 The high alkanoids concentrations obtained in all parts of the plant with all drying methods indicated the potentialities of these plant parts as poison agent (40). It was also noted (41) also 292 293 noted that alkaloids caused developmental toxicity in rodents. Highly concentrated saponins found in all the T. neriifolia plant parts under the drying methods conformed to the work of 294 295 Varsha (2). Saponin which was also found in *Thevetia* was noted for its extensive usage as detergent globally and was highly toxic when injected into the blood stream due to its reaction 296 297 with enzymes (26). Also, saponins ingestion has been known to result in lysis of blood cells, haemolysis, carcinogenicity, neurotoxicity in rats and humans (42). Tannins concentration was 298 higher in the leaves, flower, roots, seeds and stem bark of T. neriifolia when fresh, air-dried, and 299 sun-dried thereby indicating starch and xanthoproteins in accordance with Varsha (2) and a 300 301 damage to the livers of rats when ingested (43).

Anthraquine, a naturally occurring aromatic organic compound found in some plants and micro 302 organisms is known to contribute to the colouring pigment. It is used for the manufacture of dyes 303 commercially (44) and was present in very low concentrations. This compound was not detected 304 in the flower of *T. neriifolia* in this study contrary to the report of Essiett and Udofa (39) that 305 anthraquinone was detected in the flower of T. peruviana. However, anthraquine was detectable 306 in the leaf and stem bark of T. neriifolia as was earlier documented (39). Though, both 307 anthraquinone and terpene were undetectable in fresh samples but were present in sun-dried 308 samples. This may be attributed to the concentration of active ingredients during drying. 309

310

This study showed that tannins, phenols, steroids, Thevetin A and B, digitoxin, candenolides, 311 cardiac glycosides and free aglycones were not affected by different drying methods in all the 312 parts of *Thevetia neriifolia* plant. This corroborated earlier reports (45, 46, 47) that drying or 313 314 heating does not have effect on candenolides, cardiac glycosides, Thevetin A and B though; sundrying could cause concentration of active ingredients due to evaporation of water. Also, 315 Sangodare et al. (48) reported that the components of this plant were not easily affected by heat 316 because they produce gastric and cardio-toxic effects and may account for the potential use of 317 318 this plant in the pest control (bio-pesticides).

319

Cardiac glycosides, candelolides, Thevetin A and B (cerebroside) and free aglycones abundance in all parts of *T. neriifolia* irrespective of the drying methods employed in this study conformed

to the documented reports (46, 49) that these natural poisons made the plant to be toxic to
vertebrates. Other workers (35, 50, 51, 52) also noted that the main active *Thevetia*phytoconstituent was cardiac glycosides which may also include the free aglycones such as
Thevetin A and B, digitoxin as well as oleandrin.

Cardiac glycosides were reported to be toxic to rats as they damage their livers and hearts (54). 326 Glycosides are also known to inhibit the transmembrane by binding to an extracellular portion of 327 the Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ATPases (sodium-potassium adenosine triphosphate enzyme system) and cause 328 increased intracellular calcium concentrations (32). The thevetins and other free aglycones of 329 cardiac glycosides are also known to have toxic effects on the hearts (34), heart muscles, blood 330 pressure elevation and heart irregularities. They also cause intestinal peristalsis, increased 331 332 salivation and pupil contraction. The toxins (thevetin A, B and cardiac glycosides) have been reported to inhibit spermatogenesis in rats (34). 333

#### 334 Conclusion

Drying of Thevetia neriifolia plant parts using different methods led to more concentration of inherent phytochemicals mostly in the leaf followed by stem bark. Cardiac glycosides, thevetin A and B, digitoxin and oleandrin concetrations in plant parts were not reduced by any of the drying methods. Therefore, any part of *Thevetia neriifolia* could be processed with appropriate drying methods (sun and air-drying) and be compounded to powder as natural bait (rodenticide) to control rats and other rodents.

341

#### 342 **References**

- (1) Wagner, W. L., D. R. Herbst, and S. H. Sohmer. 1999. *Manual of the Flowering Plants of Hawaii*. 2vols. Revised Edition. Bishop Museum Spec. Publ. 83. University of Hawaii
   Press and Bishop Museum Press, Honolulu, HI.
- (2) Varsha S. R. 2011. Ethnopharmacognostical Studies of *Thevetia peruviana* (Pers) K. Schum;
   A potential psychoactive plant. *Bioscience Discovery* 2(1):139-142.
- 348 (3) Mantu, D. E., Sharma, A. K. 1980. Cardenolide contents in different genotypes of *Thevetia* 349 *nerifolia* and *Nerum odorum*. *Nucleus* 23: 218-225.
- (4) Kharkonger, P and Josheph, P. 1990. Folklore medicobotany of rural Khashi and Jaintia
   tribes. *Cont. Ethno. India*, 201 213.

- (5) Maria, E., Martinez, E. Luis, A. Manuel, L. Gil, A. Abigail, A. and Alfonso, E. 2002. Acute
   toxicity of *Thevetia peruviana* in Rodents. *Pro. West Pharmacological Soc.* 45:131-133.
- (6) Zibbu, G. and Batra, A. 2011. *Thevetia peruviana* (Pers.) Schum: A plant with enormous
   therapeutic potential. *Journal of Pharmacy Research* 4(12):4461-4464.
- (7) Ambang Z, Ndongo B, Petga JP, Ngoh and Asanga A. 2005. Effect of crude extracts of
   *Thevetia peruviana* seeds on development of leaf spot disease of ground nut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) caused by *Cercospora* sp. *African Crop Science Conference Proceeding* Vol. 8 pp 797 800.
- (8) Kareru, P.G., Keriko, J.M. G.M. and Gachanja, A.N. 2010. Anti termite and antimicrobial
   properties of paint made from *Thevetia peruviana* (Pers.) Schum. Oil extract. *Africa Journal of Pharmacy. Pharmacology.*, 4: 87-89.
- 363 (9) Gata Goncalves, L., Noguewa, J.M. F., Matos, O. and De Sousa, R.B. 2003. Photoactive
   364 extracts from *Thevetia peruviana* with antifungal properties against *Cladosporium* 365 *curcumerianum*. *Journal of Photochemistry and photobiology* 70 (1), *51-54*.
- (10) Patil, H.S.R., Makavi, H.K. Gurumurthy, H. 2007. In vitro antimicrobial activity of ethanol
   extract of *Thevetia peruviana*. *Electr. J. Environ. Agric. Food. Chem.* 6:231 -2322.
- (11) Obasi, N.B. and Ibogechi, A.C. 1991. Seed oil distillate of *Thevetia peruviana*. Analysis and
   antibacterial activity. *Fitoterpia* 62(2): 159-162.
- (12) Oji, O., Madubuike, F.N. Ojimelukwe, P.C. Ibeh, C.M. 1994. Rodenticide Potential of
   *Thevetia peruviana. J. Herbs spices medicinal plants* 2:3-10
- (13) Langford, S.D. and Boor, P.J. 1996. Oleander toxicity: an examination of human and animal
   toxic exposures. *Toxicology*, 109:1-13.
- (14) Oji, O. and Okafor, O.E. 2000. Toxicological studies on stem, bark, leaf and seed kernel of
   yellow oleander (*Thevetia peruviana*). *Phytotherapy Research*, 14:133-135.
- (15) Martinez Enriquez, M.E., Moreno Ruiz, L.A., Luna Rosas, M., Magos Guerrero, G.A.,
   Aguilar ContreraA. Campos Sepulveda, A.E. 2002. Acute Toxicity of *Thevetia peruviana* in Rodents. *Proc. West. Pharmacol. Soc.* 45: 131-133 (2002)
- (16) De silva H.A., Fonseka, M.M, Pathmeswaran, A. Alahakone, D.G, Ratnatilake, G.A,
  Gunatilake, S.B, Ranasinha, C.D, Lalloo, D.G, Aronson, J.K, Desilva, H.J. 2003.
  Multiple dose activated charcoal for treatment of yellow oleander poisoning: a singleblind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 361 (9373): 1935 1938.
- (17) Atteh J O, Ibiyemi S.A, and Ojo A.O 1995. Response of broilers to dietary levels of
   *Thevetia* cake. *Journal of Agric. Sci. Cambridge* 125: 307 310. Allen, 1979. Allen's
   commercial Organic Analysis, Vol. IX, Pp. 156-189.
- (18) Ayinde, B. O., Ogunwole, O. A., Majekodunmi, B. C. and Oikeh, I. (2013). Proximate and cardiac
   glycoside composition of *Thevetia (Thevetia neriifolia.* Juss) seed as affected by soaking in
- water, brine and ethanol. Journal of Agricultural Science; Vol. 5, No. 11 pp. 201–
  207
- (19) Meerburg B.G, Singleton G.R, Kijlstra A. 2009.Rodent-borne diseases and their risks for
   public health *Critical Reviews in Microbiology* 35: 221-70.
- (20) Hussain, R.S., Kumar, R., Khan, T.A. and Titov, A. 1984. Effect of root deep treatment of
  egg plant seedlings with plant extracts, nematicides, oil-cake extracts and anthelminthic
  drugs on plant growth and root-knot development. *Pak. J. Nematol.* 2(2): 79-93.
- 395 (21) Fayinminnu, O.O., Fadina, O.O. and Adedapo, A.A. 2013. Efficacy study of bulk source of
   396 crude cassava water extract as post-emergence herbicide in cowpea (*Vigna unguilaculata*

- 397 (L). Walp) production. *The Lesotho Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. Vol.3 No. 1 pp 61398 75.
- (22) Theeraphon, P., Chain, N. Thummaruk, S. Charoenchai, P. Tippawan, P. Supanee, M.
  Chatchalerm, I. and Virapong, P. 2011. Synthesis and theoretical study of molecularly
  imprinted nanospheres for recognition of tocopherols. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 12(5): 3322 39.
- 403 (23) AOAC. 2010. Official Methods of Analysis 14<sup>th</sup> edition Association of Official of
   404 Analytical Chemists. Arlington VA 222 pg 187-188. INC 1111.
- 405 (24) Henry, T.A. 1993. A textbook `The plant Alkaloids` pp 6-466
- 406 (25) Allen, P. (1979), Commercial Organic Analysis Vol 1. pp 156-189.
- 407 (26) Trease, G.E. and Evans, W.C. (1989): Pharmacognosy. 13th (ed). ELBS/Bailliere Tindall,
  408 London. Pp. 345-6, 535-6, 772-3.
- 409 (27) Brunner, J.H 1984. Direct Spectrophotometer determination of Saponin. Anal.
   410 Chem.34:1314 1326.
- 411 (28) Sofowora A, 1993. Medicinal plants and Traditional medicine in Africa. Spectrum Books
   412 Ltd, Ibadan, Nigeria. p.289.
- 413 (29) AMC RSC 2003. Analytical Methods Committee of Royal Society of Chemistry. Pp
   414 222-239.
- (30) SAS 2007. The14th International Static Analysis Symposium at Technical University
   Denmark, 22-24 August 2007, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark.
- 417 (31) Blum, L.M. and Rieders, F. 1987. Oleandrin distribution in a fatality from rectal and oral
   418 Nerium Oleander extracts administration. *J. Anal. Toxicology* 11:219-221.
- (32) Gaillard, Y. and Pepin, G. 1999. Poisoning by plant material: review of human cases and analytical determination of main toxicity by high performance liquid chromatography (tandem) mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. B. Biomed Sci. Appl.* 733:181-229.
- 422 (33) Singh, S.K., Yadav, R.P and Singh, A. 2010. Piscicidal activity of leaf and bark extract of
   423 Thevetia peruviana and their biochemical stress response on fish metabolism. *African* 424 *Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology* 14(11) 915-23.
- (34) Gupta, R., Kachhawa, J.B., Gupta, P.S., Sharma, M.C., and Dobhal, M.P. 2011.
  Phytochemical evaluation and antispermatogenic activity of *Thevetia peruviana* methanol
  extract in male albino rats. *Human Fertility* 14:53-59.
- (35) Omolara, O. Oluwaniyi, and Samuel A. Ibiyemi. 2007. Effect on the nutrient content of *Thevetia peruviana* seed cake. *Res. J. Applied Science*. 2(2): 188-191.
- (36) Ambang, Z., Ngoh, J.D., Essono, G., Bekolo, N. and Chewachongl, G. 2010. Effect of
   *Thevetia peruviana* seeds extract on in-vitro growth of four strains of *Phytophthora megakarya* CC. POJ. 3(3): 70-76.
- (37) Karawya, M. S., Balbaa, S. I. Khayyal, S.E.1973. Estimation of cardenolides in Nerium
   oleander. *Plant Med.* 23:70-73.
- (38) Yamauchi, T., Abe, F. Tachibana, Y. Atal, C. K. Sharma, B. M. Imre, Z. 1983. Quantitative
  variations in the cardiac glycosides of oleander. *Phytochemistry* 22:2211-2214.
- (39) Essiett, U. A. and Udofa, S. I. 2014. Chemical profiles of leaf, stem and flower of *Thevetia peruviana* (Pers.) K. Schum. *European Journal of Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Sciences* 1(3):35-44
- (40) Harborne J.B. 1973.Phytochemical Methods: A guide to modern techniques of plant
  analysis. Chapman A and Hall London. Pp 279.

- (41) Chan, P.C., Haseman, J.K, Prejean, J.D, Nyska A. 2003. Toxicity and carcinogenicity of
  redelline in rats and mice. *Toxicology Letter* 144: 295 311.
- (42) Kegley, S. E, Hill, B. R. Orme, S. Choi, A.H. 2010. PAN Pesticide Database. Pesticide
   Action Network, North America.
- (43) Tanaka, T. Kohno, H. Murakami, M. Shimada, R. and Kagami, S. 2000. Colitis-related rat
   colon carcinogenesis induced by 1-hydroxy-anthraquinone and methylazoxymethanol
   acetate. *Oncol Rep.* 7(3): 501-8.
- (44) Mau, J. L. Miklus, M. B. and Beeiman, R.B. 1999. Shelf life studies of foods and Beverage
  Chara lambous E.d. *Chem. Biol. Phys. Nutr. Aspect* 57: 475 477.
- (45) Roberts, D. M., Southcott, E. Potter, J. M. Roberts, M. S. Eddleston, M. Buckley, N. A.
  2006. "Pharmacokinetics of digitoxin cross- reacting substances in patients with acute yellow oleander (*Thevetia peruviana*) poisoning, including the effect of activated charcoal": *Therapeutic Drug Monitoring* (2006) 28:6 (784-792).
- (46) Rajapakse, S. 2009.Management of yellow oleander poisoning. *Clinical Toxicology*. 47:3
   206 212.
- (47) Bandara, V., Weinstein, S.A. White, J., and Eddleston, M. 2010. A review of the natural history, toxicology, diagnosis and clinical management of *Nerium oleander* (Common oleander) and *Thevetia peruviana* (yellow oleander) Poisoning. *Toxicology*, 56: 273 281.
- (48) Sangodare, R.S.A., Agbaji, A.S. Dakare, M.A. Usman, Y.O. Magomya, A. Paul, E.D.
  Ibraheem, N.A. Etim, N.A. Ibrahim, A. Aribido, O. and Shikeola, O. 2012.Investigation
  of the chemical constituent of extracts of *Thevetia peruviana* seed using GC-MS and FTIR. *International Journal of Food Nutrition and Safety*.
- (49) Langford, S.D. and Boor, P.J. 1996. Oleander toxicity: an examination of human and
   animal toxic exposures. *Toxicology*, 109:1-13.
- 467 (50) Arora R.B, Sharma J.N and Bhatiya M.L 1967. Pharmacological evolution of peruveside a new cardiac glycoside from *Thevetia neriifolia* with notes on its clinical trials in patients
   469 with congestive heart failure. *India J. Exp. Biol.* 9(2):31-38.
- (51) Mahajan, R. T and Badgujar, S.B 2008. Phytochemical Investigation of some Laticiferous
   plants belonging to Khadesh Region of Maharashtra. *Ethnobotanical leaflets* 12:1145-52.
- 472 (52) Banerjee B, Banerjee T and Shukia G. 2010. A review on *Thevetia peruviana* (Pers) K.
  473 Schum. *Res.J. Pharmaco and Phyto.* 02(05):343-346.
- 474 (53) Weinhouse, E. Kaplanski, L. Danon, A. Nudel, D.B. 1989. Cardic glycoside toxicity in
  475 small laboratory animals. *Life Science* 44(7):441-50.