



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_37221
Title of the Manuscript:	ISOLATION, IDENTIFICATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF CELLULASE FROM A BACTERIUM OBTAINED AT A SAW-MILL SITE IN ILE-IFE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract should be a summary containing slight Intro, Results and importance Paper has a lot of grammatical mistakes and should be improved like commas, sequence of sentences Mukesh Kumar et al should be replacing as Kumar et al. some references not complete, cross check Mention source of bacteria Include some latest work in discussion section Hydrolysis zone is not clear in the figure</p> <p>If plagiarism is suspected, <u>please provide related proofs or web links.</u> 17% and should be improve as report attached</p>	<p>Abstract has been edited to align with your standard Paper has been re-read and punctuations have been added where necessary. Sentences have been simplified. Mukesh Kumar have been replaced with Kumar References have been improved on. Source of bacteria was mentioned in lines 10 & 45-46 Latest work has been included in the discussion We are sorry. The quality of the camera lens resolution was low, hence the unclear hydrolysis zone.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Improve above mention comments	Comments have been improved on as suggested. Thanks.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Manuscript was in good order with 17% similarity index Manuscript required minor revision</p>	Manuscript has been improved on. Thank you.