



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_36382
Title of the Manuscript:	Inhibitory effects of 4T1 breast tumor transplantation on mouse peripheral blood immune cell populations
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-Introduction: Please make it more interesting. Give some examples. -Line 145: Which previous report? Its ambiguous, the authors should clarify. -Discussion: Please compare your results with previous studies.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We have added the appropriate examples in the introduction section. 2. This sentence appears to be inappropriate in the results section, so we have deleted it. (Located in line 165) 3. We have compared our results with other studies in the discussion section.
Minor REVISION comments	-Line 77: 'FBS' should be defined here, at the first usage. -Line 84: 'PBS' and 'BSA' should be defined here, at first usage. -Line 85: 'NaN₃' should be defined here, at first usage. -Line 86: 'mAbs' should be defined at its first usage.	PBS was defined as PBS (phosphate buffered solution), FBS was defined as FBS (fetal bovine serum), NaN ₃ was defined as NaN ₃ (Sodium azide), BSA was defined as BSA (bovine serum albumin), mAbs were defined as mAbs (Monoclonal antibodies), SPF was defined as SPF (Specific pathogen Free), as they were first used.
Optional/General comments	-Please check grammar. Eg. Populations, methodology, discussions and conclusions	Grammar was checked and corrected, and these include: Populations to population, methodology to methods, discussions to discussion, conclusions to conclusion, effector to effector.