



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Biotechnology Journal International</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BJI_35996</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Investigation the effects of UV radiation on physiological characteristics of Moringa oleifera in vitro and in vivo.</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>1. In this work, the effects of UV radiation on physiological characteristics of <i>Moringa oleifera</i> <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> had been studied.</p> <p>2. The title is brief and properly describes the contents.</p> <p>3. The abstract is a sufficiently informative summary of the main aspects.</p> <p>4. The key words will allow proper retrieval of the information in data-bases and internet.</p> <p>5. The introduction of manuscript gives adequate information, especially about <i>Moringa oleifera</i>.</p> <p>6. The material and methods section is correct and the study can be founded on the basis of the information it contains.</p> <p>7. The quality and organization of figures are satisfactory.</p> <p>8. Quantitative data were appropriately tested with statistical methods.</p> <p>9. The results are reasonable.</p> <p>10. The discussion is correct and circumscribed to the information presented.</p> <p>11. All the references are updated and pertinent, and cited in the text.</p> <p>12. The organization and grammar are satisfactory.</p> <p>13. The length of the manuscript is adequate.</p> <p>14. So, I think of that the manuscript has a scientific value. Consequently, in my opinion, the manuscript provides original data and <b>is suitable</b> for publication.</p>	Thank you very much Sir.