



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_32441
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Study and alpha-amylase Inhibitory properties of Leave Extracts of Croton zambesicus (MÜll Arg.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript demonstrated a interesting work about the Phytochemical Study and alpha-amylase Inhibitory properties of Leaf Extracts of <i>Croton zambesicus</i>. The results obtained in this work is clearly explained and I have found minor corrections, they are as follows, Author should check the word of (leave) in title of the manuscript, Aim and Methodology over all the manuscript check and rewrite the leaf Author should add the world common name of plant <i>Croton zambesicus</i> Author should add the plant image Author why particular selected these n-hexane, ethyl-acetate and ethanol these solvents only any reason explain it. In section 2.3.1 author should add the what type of colour you have observed Conclusion section author should write correct format in scientific name Overall, the results are neatly presented. The	1. The word leave has been corrected appropriately in the title, aim amd methodology of the manuscript. 2. The common name "Bushveld" of <i>Crotons zambesicus</i> has been added and cited appropriately. 3. The plant Image has also been added. 4. The choice of the different solvents is based on the different polarities of the three solvent and their ability to dissolve different phytochemicals based on their polarities hence the study explored the differing phytochemical contents of the solvents based on their polarities 5. The colour change observed has been added in section 2.3.1. 6. The conclusion has been correctly written indicating the correct format in scientific name



SDI Review Form 1.6

	manuscript was accepted with few minor corrections.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		.