



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_30618
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical components and antibacterial activity of Tamarindus indica Linn. extracts against some Pathogens
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Observe in the text. Some mistake and comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Voucher number is now included 2. The maceration time was already included on line 87. 3. Aforementioned on line 108 is not a mistake, it referred to the earlier mentioned isolates used for the study. 4. The concentration used for the synthetic antibiotics is the same with that of the tamarind extracts, but for clarity the information is also included as highlighted. 5. I don't understand the comment of the reviewer 'including author' on line 214 because the referenced author (16) was already included. 6. Toxicological investigation was recommended (line 241)
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		I also saw other spacing mistakes which I had corrected on this version. Kindly use this corrected version for the publication.