



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">British Biotechnology Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BBJ_29739
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of drying methods on phytochemicals in Thevetia neriifolia parts and its evaluation as a potential rodenticide
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>The title is</b> Effects of drying methods on phytochemicals in <i>Thevetia neriifolia</i> parts and its evaluation as a potential rodenticide</p> <p>How have you evaluate <i>T. neriifloria</i> parts as a potential rodenticide? This part is not anywhere in methodology; if this part was not done remove it in title and in discussion remove 326-333</p> <p><b>In abstract</b> The unit is <b>mg/gm</b>; but in result we have <b>mg/g</b>. rewrite please</p> <p><b>In methodology</b> Remove paragraph 74-78 and put in paragraph 83 (<b>Quantitative determination of phytochemicals and cardiac glycosides in <i>T. neriifolia</i></b>) This quantitative determination was done how many times? Please indicate in methodology the reason of study of <b>Interaction effects of plant parts and methods of drying of <i>Thevetia neriifolia</i></b> that we see in result</p> <p><b>In results</b> The title of the table 1 is <b>Main effect of different plant parts of <i>T. neriifolia</i></b>. Is it a control? Table 2 has</p>	<p>The title have been modified to read: <b>Evaluation of the effects of drying methods on phytochemicals in <i>Thevetia neriifolia</i> parts as a potential rodenticide</b> Which invariably meant that effect of drying on the phytochemicals was in the light of its residual metabolites being viewed as a potential rodenticide The title is already modified. With this modification, lines 326-333 now reads through</p> <p>The unit in abstract have been corrected to read <b>mg/g</b></p> <p>Thanks to this reviewer for this comment. It has been adjusted accordingly</p> <p>Determinations were in triplicates and has been so indicated</p> <p>No sir, the interactions arose from the factorial arrangements and when you have such arrangements there must be interactions which you have already seen in the results</p> <p>See the adjustments in the said areas now</p>



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	<b>Main effect of drying methods on <i>Thevetia neriifolia</i>; Is it in different plant part ?</b>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>L 55 located ??? rewrite located</p> <p>L 80 250 mLs or mls?????</p> <p>Tables 1 and 2. Flavinds ?????? is ist flavo as indicated for flavonoids ?????</p> <p>L311 and L314 candenol ?????? Or cardenolides</p> <p>L 292 It was also noted (41) also noted?? rewrite</p> <p>L 269 Gata-Goncalves</p>	<p>Already effected</p> <p>mLs is 100% correct. That is the current metric system</p> <p>Flavonoids now properly written</p> <p>Cardenolides now properly written</p> <p>It has been done</p> <p>Corrected</p>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		