



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Biotechnology Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BBJ_20101
Title of the Manuscript:	PLANT REGENERATION STUDIES IN EUPHORBIA FUSIFORMIS THROUGH SOMATIC EMBRYOGENESIS.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	<u>Editor's Comment:</u>	Author's comment
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author has not given clear-cut evidence that the morphogenic response seen in cultures is somatic embryogenesis. The photographs are not convincing enough. Moreover, the author mentions that shoots emerged from somatic embryos were then transferred to rooting medium (MS+IAA/IBA/ alone) and then allowed to mature. Somatic embryos, being bipolar do not require a separate step of rooting. Hence, the structures seed were leafy shoots and required rooting to become plants.. Hence, the manuscript needs to be revised majorly after repeating the experiments and proper interpretation of the results.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Too many grammatical errors	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Major revision required	

Editor's Details:

Dr. Alok Adholeya,
Biotechnology and Management of Bio-resources Division, The Energy and Resources Institute, India