



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Biotechnology Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BBJ_29603
Title of the Manuscript:	TRANSGENIC COTTON DEVELOPMENT: AGRO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS AND COMPARATIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In Fig.2 Add year 2000 and 2005 values of Transgenic Cotton if you have the information. Fig 3 and Table 5, enlarge the columns enough to fit years in one line. Enlarge Fig 4, Fig 5, Fig 6, Fig 7 for better visibility.	There is no information according to the body evidence due to the failed harvested OK OK
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		