



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJESBS_31946
Title of the Manuscript:	Using Appreciative Inquiry, Community Theatre and Collaborative Engagement to improve Environmental Sanitation Habits of People in Ibarapa, Oyo State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	A sample of the questionnaire was not presented. Your referencing must follow the standard format of the journal and there must be consistency both in text as well as the listing.	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Line 23, the reference "Hus, 2015" is spelled Hsu in the reference list.</p> <p>Line 26, separate "of and Oyo.</p> <p>Line 34, 108, 158, 230, the referencing style is not consistent with that on page 1 and the rest of the references in the text.</p> <p>Line 54 – 55, the reference "UN 202015" is not listed.</p> <p>Line 69, the reference "WHO 2006" is not listed.</p> <p>Line 71 – 72, the reference "Nwankwo 2004" is not listed</p> <p>Line 94, the name "Stanton" is spelled "Santon" in the reference list.</p> <p>Line 98, the reference "Endsley 1995" is not listed</p> <p>Line 114, Prime, 2011 is not presented as an acronym but takes the form of acronym in line 463, why?</p> <p>Line 164, "leading them"</p> <p>Line 166, the reference "Clifford, 1982" and "Sharma, 2006" are not listed.</p> <p>Line 170 – 171, "Rasheed n.d:180" is not listed.</p> <p>Line 203 – 204, 348, the reference "Shen and Wu, 2005 are not listed.</p> <p>Lines 209, Devine- Wright, 2007, Seyfang 2007 are not listed.</p> <p>Line 167, 199, 204, 209, 340, which of these are using, "&" or "and" be consistent.</p> <p>Line 208, " basically to" instead of "based on"</p> <p>Line 218, there is no appendix III in the text.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 242, "27°C and 8°C" instead of "27 ^{0c} and 8 ^{0c} " The tables are box. Is this the acceptable style of the journal. Please write to comply with the journal style and format. Line 334, please check the original source of the wikipedia material reference it appropriately. Line 457, the reference Ogundiran, Obanisola and Adebisi was not cited in the text.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript was good but the references need to be generally re - written	

As per the guideline of editorial office we follow VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Dodo Juliet Dingtzen</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Chemistry, University Of Jos, jos, Nigeria</i>