SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJESBS_23618
Title of the Manuscript:	A cautionary note on measuring the pupil premium attainment gap in England
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	This is a nice piece and examines some of the same	
	problems we have with the NAEP.	
	I also liked the presentation by the author(s)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	T. F. McLaughlin
Department, University & Country	Gonzaga University, Washington, USA

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)