



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJESBS_22906
Title of the Manuscript:	Psychosocial and behavioural correlates of HIV risk perception among workers of Mukete Estates Limited, Meme Division, Southwest region of Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 8: Insert "In spite of this situation ..." Line 48: Author(s) refer to "agro-states" which should be explained as it is used several times thereafter. Line 65: Change the sentence to improve clarity – if 4.3% is the HIV prevalence of Cameroon, include the number of people that it represents to put the HIV prevalence in perspective. Line 156: How did authors "pre-determine" the sample size of 208?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 45: rephrase the first sentence under Introduction to make better sense. Line 62: Replace the word "well-elaborated" with comprehensive – if that is what is meant. Line 76: Replace "appreciable" with a more appropriate word e.g. substantial Introduction: Well-described but consider a separate heading where the discussion of theories and HBM start. Ensure that the research question is then clearly put before moving on to the Methods. Methods: Line 140 – Either say "approximately" or "more than" not both. If the total labour force in the state consists of 500 individuals, you can leave out the word "gigantic" as that is not a gigantic number. Line 149: Consider adding "individuals" at the end of the sentence A grammatical review of the complete manuscript will be beneficial.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Title: Appropriate Abstract: Reflects the study Methods: The study design is appropriate and measures well-explained with an in-depth description of results. The sample size was too small to generalise findings as referred to in the limitations. Discussion: If the research question was more clearly defined, the start of the discussion could refer to it and then make findings clearer. The concept of the HBM is well-applied, putting the results in perspective. Study contribution: The value of the study was to contribute to design of HIV/AIDS interventions among Cameroon's agricultural labour force. It highlights the dire need for HIV prevention programmes that take psychosocial characteristics of the target population in mind in order to improve HIV risk perception.	
---	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hendra Van Zyl
Department, University & Country	Medical Research Council, South Africa