



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJESBS_21052
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Neuronfeedback and drug therapy on ADHD children aged 5 to 14 and its impact on happiness of their mothers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	this is a good paper, but needs some editing. I realize English is not their first language- but verbs and grammar and syntax, and commas are needed and paragraphing is needed. The paper needs to flow more fluidly. I like the paper but I believe it is too long. The literature review is extensive and overdone. I do think the paper should be published- but it needs editing and needs to be shortened. There are no apparent ethical issues, and I see no competing interests. Major Revisions/Editing are needed- particularly in fine English points---missing words, commas and sentence structure.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Michael F. Shaughnessy
Department, University & Country	Eastern New Mexico University, Portales, New Mexico, USA

SDI Review Form 1.6

SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

